

Clinical criteria for the application of percutaneous needle electrolysis in tendinopathies: An expert Consensus document and cross-sectional study among physical therapists

Fermín Valera Garrido¹ Fernando Polidori² Jerónimo Benavent Canet³ Francesc i Sicarrats Botet⁴
 Pablo Martínez Ramírez⁵ Sandra Calvo⁶ Javier Belsué Pastora⁶ Francisco Minaya Muñoz¹

¹ MVClinic. Madrid, España. Universidad CEU San Pablo, Madrid.
 Getafe CF, Spain

Address for correspondence D. Fermín Valera Garrido, PT, MSc, PhD,
 Madrid, Spain (e-mail: ferminalvalera@mvclinic.es).

² Kinesiología Polidori, Mendoza, Argentina

³ Fisio Global Sport, Valencia, Spain

⁴ L'estudi Sport Vic, Barcelona. F.C.Wuhan (Superliga China), Wuhan, China

⁵ Valencia Basket, Valencia, España. Fisirosport, Valencia, Spain

⁶ Grupo de investigación iPhysio. Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud,
 Universidad San Jorge. Campus Universitario, Zaragoza, Spain

Rev Fisioter Invasiva 2019;2:55–61.

Abstract

Introduction Percutaneous needle electrolysis is a technique of invasive physical therapy which has been applied successfully in tendinopathies over the last decade. This study sought to explore the opinion and criteria employed by physical therapists who apply this technique in tendinopathies.

Material and Methods A cross-sectional study based on a survey of 15 questions to gather the sociodemographic characteristics of attendees and to examine four dimensions associated with the application of percutaneous needle electrolysis (indications, dosage, ultrasound-guidance, supporting therapies). The participants voted on the survey simultaneously after installing the “Votephone” App on their mobile phones or smartphones.

Results The study participants included 212 people who attended the CIFL 2018 conference and four experts. Of those who responded, 74.5% were men, who were mainly dedicated to clinical work (91.8%), and were aged between 25 and 45 years (97.6%). Electrolysis was used by 79% of respondents in their daily practice, for which 32.89% had over six years' experience. Percutaneous needle electrolysis was considered the therapy of choice in the treatment of tendinopathies for 91.03% of respondents, whereas 98.67% used it in situations of chronicity, and for tissue repair purposes (85%). Of all people surveyed, 97.74% considered that ultrasound guidance was essential for its application, employing intensities of between 2–4 mA (59.60%) and combined with therapeutic exercise (77.78%). Ultrasound in B mode (50.85%) and palpation with the needle and reproduction of symptoms (47.46%) are the criteria used to define the area of intervention.

Conclusions Percutaneous needle electrolysis is often used by physical therapists in chronic tendinopathies, applying high intensities (2–4 mA) aimed at repair of the damaged tissue. Attendees considered that the use of ultrasound for assessment and as a guide for the intervention is essential to guarantee a safe application and improve effectiveness. Percutaneous needle electrolysis is most commonly associated with therapeutic exercise.

Keywords

- electrolisis
- invasive
- physiotherapy
- tendinopathies

received
 December 16, 2019
 accepted
 December 17, 2019

DOI [https://doi.org/
 10.1055/s-0039-3402789.](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0039-3402789)
 ISSN 2386-4591.

Copyright © 2019 by Thieme Revinter
 Publicações Ltda, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

License terms


Introduction

Tendons are dense and fibrous connective tissues, which play an essential role both in strength transmission as well as in the generation of joint movement.¹ Tendinopathy is a term which refers to a complex multifactorial tendon pathology, characterized by pain, decreased function and structural alteration.²

Most cases of tendinopathy are caused by overuse, as a result of repeated micro efforts repeated below the threshold of error, analogous to what happens due to fatigue in most materials when they are subjected to repetitive loading.^{1,3} Traumatic repetitive events, such as tendinopathies, represent more than 65% of work-related musculoskeletal disorders⁴ and are one of the most common damaging events in athletes, representing 30% of all the injuries related with "running" and with a prevalence of almost 40% in tennis players.⁵

Among the wide range of treatments for a tendinopathy,⁶ in the last decade several therapeutic options have arisen such as percutaneous needle electrolysis,⁷ shock wave therapy,⁸ or infiltrations with platelet rich plasma.⁹ Percutaneous needle electrolysis is a minimally invasive technique which consists of the application of a galvanic current in the neuromusculoskeletal tissue via a solid, filiform and non-beveled needle, which triggers a local inflammatory process, producing an increase of cell activity and thus enabling the repair of the affected tissue.⁷ Since the year 2008 until present, over 5.000 physical therapists are estimated to have received training in this technique, following the various existing methodologies and training programs, which has enabled the development of clinical evidence. According to the scientific literature, percutaneous needle electrolysis has proven to be effective for the treatment of tendinopathies of the patellar tendon, epicondyle tendon, rotator cuff or the adductor longus.^{7,10-12}

The III International Conference on Invasive Physical Therapy (CIFI), was organized by the Scientific Society of Neuromusculoskeletal Invasive Physical Therapy (SOCIFIN), and held on October 27 and 28, 2018 in Valencia. This conference enabled the opportunity of joining expert physical therapists in a panel on the application of percutaneous needle electrolysis with clinical physical therapists with different years of experience in the application of the technique. The aim of the present study was to explore the opinion of experts and conference attendees regarding the use of percutaneous needle electrolysis in tendinopathies, its indications, dosage, the use of ultrasound and the use of supporting therapies, to establish clinical application criteria.

Material and Methods

Study Design

A cross-sectional study was conducted based on a survey of 15 questions directed to the attendees of the CIFI 2018. The survey was designed previously after a brainstorming session among physical therapists who had extensive clinical experience on the application of percutaneous needle electrolysis (> 5 years)

and those who participated in the panel of experts. The physical therapists on the panel included: Jerónimo Benavent Canet, Fernando Polidori, Francesc Sucarrats i Botet and Fermín Valera Garrido, and was moderated by Pablo Martínez Ramírez. Of the 15 questions included in the survey, the first five were general questions to reflect the sociodemographic characteristics of attendees. The remaining questions were divided into four thematic blocks, followed by a debate on the responses of attendees after each question:

1. Indications. Situations in which percutaneous needle electrolysis is used, criteria and assessment tools. Questions 6-9.
2. Dosage. Aim of percutaneous needle electrolysis and dosage. Questions 10-12.
3. Ultrasound-guided nature of the technique. Use of ultrasound for the application of the technique and for determining the area of intervention. Questions 13-14.
4. Supporting therapies. Therapies that are combined with percutaneous needle electrolysis. Question 15.

The questions had single responses, except for questions 7, 8, 9, 10, 14 and 15, where a multiple response was allowed. After the responses of attendees to the survey, the experts intervened, providing their opinions and discussing whether or not they agreed to the responses obtained in the sample. The survey is available in Annex 1.

The questions and their possible responses were displayed on the auditorium screen using PowerPoint. The attendees casted their votes simultaneously, after installing the "Vote-phone" App on their mobile phones or smartphones.

Statistical Analysis

For the statistical analysis, all the surveys were considered, independent of whether or not they were responded in their entirety. The data were exported to an Excel sheet for subsequent analysis using the IBM SPSS statistics program version 23.

Results

As the statistical analysis included all the surveys, irrespective of whether they had been responded to in their entirety, the total number of responses varied for the different questions featured in the survey. A total of 212 anonymous respondents participated in the survey.

Survey Responses

Of the total people surveyed, 74.5% were men, aged between 25 and 45 years (97.6%) involved in clinical work (91.86%). Furthermore, 79% used electrolysis in their daily practice, with three groups of experience regarding the application of the same (38.26% less than 3 years' experience; 28.86% between 3 and 6 years; 32.89% over 6 years). ►Table 1 displays the sociodemographic characteristics of attendees.

Indications

Of the total number of people surveyed, 91.03% considered that percutaneous needle electrolysis is the therapy of choice for the treatment of tendinopathies. Regarding the

Table 1 Sociodemographic characteristics of attendees extracted from questions 1-5

Variables	n (%)
Sex (n)	
Men	102 (74.5)
Women	35 (25.5)
Age (years)	
20-25	12 (7.1)
25-30	41 (24.26)
30-35	42 (24.85)
35-40	44 (26.04)
40-45	17 (10.06)
45-50	9 (5.33)
50-55	0 (0)
55-60	0 (0)
>60	4 (2.37)
Field of work (n)	
Clinical	158 (91.86)
Teaching	3 (1.74)
Research	3 (1.74)
Management	1 (0.58)
Others	7 (4.07)
Use of percutaneous needle electrolysis in the clinic (n)	
Yes	143 (79.01)
No	38 (20.99)
Years of experience with percutaneous needle electrolysis (years)	
<3 years	57 (38.26)
3-6 years	43 (28.86)
>6 years	49 (32.89)

Descriptive statistical data.

n: number of subjects.

clinical situations where the attendees use percutaneous needle electrolysis, acute tendinopathy received 99 votes (65.12%) whereas chronic tendinopathy received 150 votes (98.67%). Regarding the criteria which the attendees used to determine the indication of percutaneous needle electrolysis, functional criteria received a total of 108 votes (72.47%) and structural criteria received 128 votes (85.89%). To evaluate tendon structure, ultrasound received 140 votes in total (97.91%) and elastography received 37 votes (25.88%). Only one person did not use any of these tools (0.70%) (**Table 2**).

Dosage

Regarding the main objective sought when applying percutaneous needle electrolysis on a tendon, analgesia received 18 votes (15%), whereas repair of the structure received 102

Table 2 Frequency of votes for the "Indications" Block

Question	n (%)
Q.6. Do you consider that percutaneous needle electrolysis is a therapy of choice for tendinopathies?	
Yes	142 (91.03)
No	14 (8.97)
Q.7. In what clinical situations do you use percutaneous needle electrolysis? (multiple response)	
Acute tendinopathy	2 (1.31)
Chronic tendinopathy	53 (34.86)
Acute + chronic	97 (63.81)
Q.8. What criteria do you use to determine the indication of percutaneous needle electrolysis? (multiple response)	
Functional	21 (14.09)
Structural	41 (27.51)
Functional + structural	87 (58.38)
Q.9. What tools do you use to evaluate the tendon structure? (multiple response)	
Ultrasound	102 (71.33)
Elastography	1 (0.70)
Thermography	1 (0.70)
Ultrasound + elastography	32 (22.38)
Ultrasound + thermography	2 (1.40)
Ultrasound + elastography + thermography	4 (2.80)
None	1 (0.70)

Descriptive statistical data.

n: Number of votes.

votes (85%). The selected intensity for a degenerated tendon with a simple sheath is 2-4 milliamperes (mA), receiving 90 votes (59.60%) whereas the use of 4 mA received 2 votes (1.32%). Neovascularization was considered the target tissue according to 84 votes (59.15%) (**Table 3**).

Ultrasound-guided Nature of the Technique

Of the total people surveyed, 97.74% (130 votes) considered that ultrasound guidance was essential for applying percutaneous needle electrolysis, whereas 1.50% (2 votes) and 0.75% (1 vote) considered it essential only for deep structures that are difficult to palpate and only in risk areas, respectively. To determine the area of intervention, once the affected area is determined using ultrasound and clinical tests, B mode received 30 votes (50.85%), palpation with the needle and reproduction of symptoms received 28 votes (47.46%) and elastography received 1 vote (1.69%). None of the people surveyed used several methods to determine the area of intervention, simultaneously (**Table 4**).

Table 3 Frequency of votes for the "Dosage" block

Question	n (%)
Q.10. What objective are you seeking with percutaneous needle electrolysis in a tendon? (multiple response)	
Analgesia	18 (15)
Repair of the structure	102 (85)
Analgesia + repair of the structure	0 (0)
Q.11. What intensity would you use in a tendon with a simple sheath with degeneration?	
0.3-1 mA	19 (12.58)
1-2 mA	40 (26.49)
2-4 mA	90 (59.60)
> 4 mA	2 (1.32)
Q.12. Do you consider neovascularization as being a target tissue?	
Yes	84 (59.15)
No	58 (40.85)

Descriptive statistical data.

n: Number of votes; mA: milliamperes.

Table 4 Frequency of votes for the "Ultrasound-guided nature of the technique" block

Question	n (%)
Q.13. Do you consider that ultrasound guidance is essential for performance of the electrolysis technique?	
Always	130 (97.74)
Only in deep structures that are difficult to palpate	2 (1.50)
Only in areas at risk	1 (0.75)
Q.14. Once the affected area is determined using ultrasound and clinical tests, how do you determine the area of intervention? (multiple response)	
Determining the most affected site in B mode	30 (50.85)
With assistance of elastography	1 (1.69)
Via needle palpation and reproduction of symptoms	28 (47.46)

Descriptive statistical data.

n: Number of votes.

Supporting Therapies

The therapy which most people used in combination with percutaneous needle electrolysis was therapeutic exercise (28 votes; 77.78%), followed by neuromodulation (5 votes; 13.89%) and diathermia (3 votes; 8.33%) (**Table 5**).

Table 5 Frequency of votes for the "Supporting therapies" block

Question	n (%)
Q.15. In your clinical experience, what therapy has given you good results when combined with electrolysis? (multiple response)	
Therapeutic exercise	28 (77.78)
High voltage	0 (0)
Microcurrents	0 (0)
High power laser	0 (0)
Neuromodulation	5 (13.89)
Diathermia	3 (8.33)

Descriptive statistical data.

n: Number of votes.

Intervention by Experts

After viewing the results of the votes, the experts expressed their agreement with most responses made by the public, although they clarified that they use percutaneous electrolysis preferably in chronic tendinopathies with the main objective of improving the damaged structure. In many cases, the subject presents a degenerated tendon in the reactive phase (inflammatory), which means a new flare up of the symptoms on a tendon with defined structural changes.

All experts highlighted the importance of ultrasound to evaluate the tendon and as a guide for the intervention to guarantee a safe application that is as effective as possible. In addition to B Mode and Doppler, some experts recommended the use of elastography as a tool that provides an added value as it identifies the areas of tendon degeneration. Regarding neovascularization, it is a therapeutic objective depending on its localization and quantity, therefore, only small, clinically relevant sites are the target tissue, with regular exercise being the initial treatment for tendinopathies displaying wide patterns of neovascularization. The change in neovascularization was considered an indicator of tendon improvement, together with echotexture and echogenicity changes. The thickening was not considered a finding that commonly changes with percutaneous needle electrolysis treatment. Together with ultrasound guide, palpation with the needle and reproduction of symptoms were considered essential for experts to confirm the target tissue.

Most experts chose high intensities (2-4 mA) to stimulate repair of the affected tendon, stressing the importance of differentiating between tendons with a simple sheath and those with a double sheath. They also indicated that, depending on the target tissue, intensity and time parameters should be adjusted. Thus, their experience was that, at low intensities the effect is mainly analgesic.

Despite an increase in the number and quality of scientific studies in recent years, future research is necessary to clarify and confirm the results obtained.

Regarding combined treatments, the experts considered therapeutic exercise as a means for stimulating the tissue of

newly formed collagen. They considered that exercise should always be associated with electrolysis, however not always eccentric exercise, having to adapt the loading to the type of tendon and patient.

According to the clinical experience of the experts, percutaneous needle electrolysis is an effective technique in chronic tendinopathy, provided it is applied with appropriate methodology, which must integrate clinical and scientific evidence. This technique presents a very high cost-effectiveness, and its tolerance is similar to other invasive techniques used in physical therapy, such as dry needling.

Conclusions

The majority of physical therapists who use percutaneous needle electrolysis consider that chronic tendinopathy can benefit from this technique by applying current intensities of between 2-4 mA, as long as there is a functional and structural relationship to justify this.

Ultrasound is essential, both for assessment purposes, and as a guide for the intervention. Its use and the reproduction of the patient's symptoms during examination with the needle are the criteria which are most often used to determine the area of intervention. Besides, therapeutic exercise is an essential tool for supporting the effectiveness of treatment.

Percutaneous needle electrolysis is a technique with positive clinical results which is being continuously developed and which requires further research studies to clarify further details regarding its application, as well as to compare it with other techniques.

The key for achieving optimal results is based on the methods employed which should be based on clinical reasoning, integrating experience with scientific evidence.

Conflict of Interests and Source of Funding

The authors declare no conflicts of interest nor commercial involvements with a direct financial interest in the results of this research.

Acknowledgments

To all the physical therapists who participated in the *Fire Debates* on Percutaneous Needle Electrolysis of the CIFI 2018 in Valencia.

References

- 1 Riley G. Chronic tendon pathology: molecular basis and therapeutic implications. *Expert Rev Mol Med* 2005;7(05):1-25
- 2 Khan KM, Cook JL, Bonar F, Harcourt P, Åstrom M. Histopathology of common tendinopathies. Update and implications for clinical management. *Sports Med* 1999;27(06):393-408
- 3 Dirks RC, Warden SJ. Models for the study of tendinopathy. *J Musculoskelet Neuron Interact* 2011;11(02):141-149
- 4 Barr AE, Barbe MF, Clark BD. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders of the hand and wrist: epidemiology, pathophysiology, and sensorimotor changes. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 2004;34(10):610-627
- 5 Federer AE, Steele JR, Dekker TJ, Liles JL, Adams SB. Tendonitis and Tendinopathy: What are they and how do they evolve? *Foot Ankle Clin* 2017;22(04):665-676
- 6 Everhart JS, Cole D, Sojka JH, et al. Treatment Options for Patellar Tendinopathy: A Systematic Review. *Arthroscopy* 2017;33(04): 861-872
- 7 Valera-Garrido F, Minaya-Muñoz F, Medina-Mirapeix F. Ultrasound-guided percutaneous needle electrolysis in chronic lateral epicondylitis: short-term and long-term results. *Acupunct Med* 2014;32(06):446-454
- 8 Mani-Babu S, Morrissey D, Waugh C, Screen H, Barton C. The effectiveness of extracorporeal shock wave therapy in lower limb tendinopathy: a systematic review. *Am J Sports Med* 2015;43(03):752-761
- 9 Zhou Y, Wang JHC. PRP Treatment Efficacy for Tendinopathy: A Review of Basic Science Studies. *Biomed Res Int* 2016;2016;
- 10 Abat F, Diesel WJ, Gelber PE, Polidori F, Monllau JC, Sanchez-Ibañez JM. Effectiveness of the Intratissue Percutaneous Electrolysis (EPI®) technique and isoinertial eccentric exercise in the treatment of patellar tendinopathy at two years follow-up. *Muscles Ligaments Tendons J* 2014;4(02):188-193
- 11 Arias-Buriá JL, Truyols-Domínguez S, Valero-Alcaide R, Salom-Moreno J, Atíñ-Arratibel MA, Fernández-De-Las-Penás C. Ultrasound-Guided Percutaneous Electrolysis and Eccentric Exercises for Subacromial Pain Syndrome: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Evidence-based Complement Altern Med* 2015;2015;
- 12 Moreno C, Mattiussi G, Núñez FJ. Therapeutic results after ultrasound-guided intratissue percutaneous electrolysis (EPI®) in the treatment of rectus abdominis-related groin pain in professional footballers: a pilot study. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 2016;56(10):1171-1178

Annexes

Annex 1. Survey Directed at the Conference Attendees

- Question 1. Indicate your sex
 1. Man
 2. Woman
- Question 2. Indicate your age
 1. 20-25 years
 2. 25-30 years
 3. 30-35 years
 4. 35-40 years
 5. 40-45 years
 6. 45-50 years
 7. 50-55 years
 8. 55-60 years
 9. Over 60 years
- Question 3. Indicate your field of work
 1. Clinical
 2. Teaching
 3. Research
 4. Management
 5. Other
- Question 4. Do you regularly use percutaneous needle electrolysis in your daily practice?
 1. Yes
 2. No
- Question 5. How many years' experience do you have in the application of percutaneous needle electrolysis?
 1. Less than 3 years
 2. 3-6 years
 3. Over 6 years

Block 1. Indications

- Question 6. Do you consider that percutaneous needle electrolysis is a therapy of choice for tendinopathies?
 1. Yes
 2. No
- Question 7. In what clinical situations do you use percutaneous needle electrolysis? (Possible multiple response)
 1. Acute tendinopathy
 2. Chronic tendinopathy
- Question 8. What criteria do you use to determine the indication of percutaneous needle electrolysis (Possible multiple responses)
 1. Functional
 2. Structural
- Question 9. What tools do you use to evaluate the tendon structure? (Possible multiple responses)
 1. Ultrasound (B mode, Doppler)
 2. Elastography
 3. Thermography
 4. None

Block 2. Dosage

- Question 10. What objective are you seeking with percutaneous needle electrolysis in a tendon? (Possible multiple responses)
 1. Analgesia
 2. Repair of the structure
- Question 11. What intensity would you use in a tendon with a simple sheath with degeneration?
 1. 0.3-1 mA
 2. 1-2 mA
 3. 2-4 mA
 4. Over 4 mA
- Question 12. Do you consider neovascularization as being a target tissue?
 1. Yes
 2. No

Block 3. Ultrasound-guided Nature of the Technique

- Question 13. Do you consider that ultrasound guidance is essential for performance of the electrolysis technique?
 1. Always
 2. Only in deep structures that are difficult to palpate
 3. Only in areas at risk
- Question 14. Once the affected area is determined using ultrasound and clinical tests, how do you determine the area of intervention? (Possible multiple responses)
 1. Determining the most affected site in B mode
 2. With the help of elastography
 3. Via needle palpation and reproduction of symptoms

Block 4. Supporting Therapies

- Question 15. In your clinical experience, what therapy has given you good results when combined with electrolysis? (Possible multiple response)
 1. Therapeutic exercise
 2. High voltage
 3. Microcurrents
 4. High power laser
 5. Neuromodulation
 6. Diathermia