



The Case for Retiring Flexibility as a Major Component of Physical Fitness

James L. Nuzzo^{1,2}

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

Abstract

Flexibility refers to the intrinsic properties of body tissues that determine maximal joint range of motion without causing injury. For many years, flexibility has been classified by the American College of Sports Medicine as a major component of physical fitness. The notion flexibility is important for fitness has also led to the idea static stretching should be prescribed to improve flexibility. The current paper proposes flexibility be retired as a major component of physical fitness, and consequently, stretching be de-emphasized as a standard component of exercise prescriptions for most populations. First, I show flexibility has little predictive or concurrent validity with health and performance outcomes (e.g., mortality, falls, occupational performance) in apparently healthy individuals, particularly when viewed in light of the other major components of fitness (i.e., body composition, cardiovascular endurance, muscle endurance, muscle strength). Second, I explain that if flexibility requires improvement, this does not necessitate a prescription of stretching in most populations. Flexibility can be maintained or improved by exercise modalities that cause more robust health benefits than stretching (e.g., resistance training). Retirement of flexibility as a major component of physical fitness will simplify fitness batteries; save time and resources dedicated to flexibility instruction, measurement, and evaluation; and prevent erroneous conclusions about fitness status when interpreting flexibility scores. De-emphasis of stretching in exercise prescriptions will ensure stretching does not negatively impact other exercise and does not take away from time that could be allocated to training activities that have more robust health and performance benefits.

1 Flexibility Defined

Flexibility refers to the intrinsic properties of body tissues that determine maximal joint range of motion (ROM) without causing injury [1, 2]. Static flexibility refers to joint ROM usually in relaxed muscle [2, 3]. Static flexibility is subjective, as the limit ROM is determined by the tester or the patient and their stretch tolerance [2, 3]. Tools used to assess static flexibility include rulers, goniometers, electrogoniometers, inclinometers, fleximeters, photography, visual estimations, and three-dimensional kinematics [4–11]. Dynamic flexibility refers to stiffness of the muscle-tendon unit within normal ROM [2, 3]. Dynamic flexibility is more

objective [2, 3] and is assessed with force sensors, isokinetic dynamometers, and shear wave elastography.

The current paper is concerned with static flexibility and the sit-and-reach test. The sit-and-reach requires participants to sit on the floor or in a chair and reach toward their toes. This test is used in school fitness batteries in the United States [12, 13]. The American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) states the sit-and-reach should be included in health-related physical testing due to the “relative importance of hamstring flexibility to activities of daily living and sports performance...” [14]. Numerous studies have examined the validity and reliability of the sit-and-reach [4, 15–50]. The test is reliable and primarily measures hamstrings flexibility.

2 Brief History of Flexibility in the United States

Clinical tests have been used to measure static flexibility (hereafter termed “flexibility”) in intact humans since the early 1900s [11, 51]. In 1941, Cureton summarized research on flexibility [52]. He discussed ways flexibility

✉ James L. Nuzzo
j.nuzzo@neura.edu.au

¹ Neuroscience Research Australia, Barker Street, Randwick, NSW, Australia 2031

² School of Medical Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Key Points

Flexibility has been considered a major component of physical fitness for many years, and significant time and resources are devoted to its instruction, measurement, and evaluation.

This paper proposes flexibility be retired as a major component of physical fitness because it has little predictive or concurrent validity with meaningful health and performance outcomes in apparently healthy individuals, particularly when viewed in light of the other major components of physical fitness (i.e., body composition, cardiovascular endurance, muscle endurance, muscle strength).

Because the fundamental purpose of stretching is to improve flexibility, this paper also proposes stretching does not need to be a standard component of exercise prescriptions for most populations. Other activities that cause more robust health benefits (e.g., resistance training) are sufficient for improving or maintaining flexibility, if desired.

was assessed, explained findings from studies that compared flexibility in different groups, and described stretches to improve flexibility [52]. He did not state explicitly flexibility should be a component of physical fitness, but his paper gave credence to the idea.

Throughout the 1950s and 1960s, researchers continued to study and discuss flexibility [53–62]. In 1952, Wells and Dillon created the sit-and-reach—a modification of the “Standing, Bobbing,” which required participants to stand on a bench and bob down to their toes [50]. In 1954, Kraus and Hirschland reported American youth exhibited worse physical fitness (including flexibility) than European youth [58]. Their results led to the creation of the President’s Council on Physical Youth Fitness and school fitness testing [12, 63]. In 1958, Guilford wrote physical educators have “recognized for a long time that flexibility of movement is important in athletic training” [64]. In 1960, Leighton wrote about the “significance of flexibility for physical educators” [59]. In 1962, Nick and Fleishman classified flexibility as one of the five components of “physical proficiency” [60]. The following year, Fleishman included tests of “extant flexibility” in a factor analysis that attempted to establish valid tests for each component of fitness [54]. In 1968, Holland communicated skepticisms and uncertainties about flexibility, and concluded flexibility and human motor performance are “probably not as highly correlated as traditionally believed” [56]. In 1980, Corbin and Noble called flexibility a “major component of physical fitness” and made claims about its

health benefits [51]. The same year, the American Alliance for Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance established the first national health-related physical fitness battery [12]. It included the sit-and-reach.

Today, flexibility is conceptualized as part of physical fitness. The Department of Health and Human Services says flexibility is “an important component of physical fitness” [65]. The American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) says flexibility is “important in athletic performance (e.g., ballet, gymnastics) and in the ability to carry out activities of daily living” and “maintaining flexibility...may prevent injury” [14]. The ACSM considers flexibility along with body composition, cardiovascular endurance, muscle endurance, and muscle strength, the five components of health-related physical fitness [14] (hereafter termed “major components”).

The notion flexibility is important for physical fitness has led to the idea flexibility exercises (i.e., stretching) be prescribed to improve ROM. The ACSM says: “it is reasonable, based on the available evidence, to recommend individuals engaging in a general fitness program perform flexibility exercise following cardiorespiratory or resistance exercise—or alternatively—as a stand-alone program [14].” The ACSM recommends 2–3 days of stretching per week (2–4 repetitions of multiple stretches per day) [14, 66]. In the United States, 80% of personal trainers say they prescribe static stretching [67]. An international survey revealed 53% of physically active adults normally stretch, 60% stretch for 5–10 min per exercise session, and 23% stretch for over 10 min per session [68].

3 Aim

The current paper proposes flexibility be retired as a major component of physical fitness. In Sect. 4, I explain flexibility has little predictive or concurrent validity with health and performance outcomes, particularly when assessed by the sit-and-reach and when compared to other fitness components. In Sect. 5, I explain the case for retiring flexibility is also the case for decreased emphasis on stretching as a standard or necessary component of exercise prescriptions for most populations. Static stretching does not clearly and consistently improve health and function. Moreover, flexibility can be maintained or improved by exercise modalities that cause more robust health benefits than stretching (e.g., resistance training). In Sect. 6, I describe potential implications of decreased emphasis on flexibility and stretching. In Sect. 7, I counter potential misinterpretations of my position and provide final thoughts on the paradox between the lack of evidence supporting flexibility and its continued status as a major component of fitness.

4 Case for Retiring Flexibility as a Major Component of Physical Fitness

4.1 Mortality

Flexibility, as measured by the sit-and-reach or standing trunk flexion, is not predictive of all-cause mortality [69, 70]. Body composition [71, 72], cardiovascular endurance [73], muscle endurance [74], muscle strength [75–78], and muscle power [79] are all predictive of mortality.

4.2 Aging, Falls, Activities of Daily Living, and Gait

Flexibility declines with age [80, 81], but unlike muscle strength [82], flexibility does not predict falls in older adults [83–87]. Flexibility levels are usually greater (not always statistically) in older adults who are the most independent and functional in activities of daily living (ADL) [88–90]. Nevertheless, muscle strength is also greater in those more functional older adults [88–90]. In correlational studies, greater flexibility usually correlates with better ability to perform ADLs ($r=0.20\text{--}0.50$) [88, 89, 91–93], but so does muscle strength [88, 89, 92, 93]. This relationship between muscle strength and ADLs has been observed numerous times in older adults [90, 94–101] and results from longitudinal studies indicate muscle strength at middle or older age predicts ADL performance later in life [94, 97–99].

Flexibility also correlates with gait parameters. Sit-and-reach scores correlate with walking velocity in older adults ($r=0.40\text{--}0.42$) [93]. Also, older adults exhibit less hip extension during normal gait than younger adults [102, 103]. Nevertheless, muscle strength of ankle dorsiflexors correlates with walking velocity in older adults ($r=0.31\text{--}0.63$) [93] and lack of plantar flexion strength during walking is a likely reason older adults walk slowly [102, 103]. Isometric knee extension ($r=0.35\text{--}0.53$) [104] and ankle plantarflexion strength ($r=0.36\text{--}0.41$) [105] correlate with preferred gait speed in older adults; isokinetic peak torque of knee extensors correlates with walk-turn-walk performance at comfortable ($r=0.37$) and fast speeds ($r=0.39$) [106]; isokinetic peak torques of hip extensors, knee extensors, and ankle plantar flexors correlate with maximal gait speed ($r=0.52\text{--}0.63$) and stride length at habitual ($r=0.38\text{--}0.53$) and maximal gait speeds ($r=0.53\text{--}0.63$) [107].

4.3 Quality of Life

Sit-and-reach scores correlate positively with quality of life in early postmenopausal women ($r=0.39\text{--}0.51$) [108]. Individuals with higher sit-and-reach scores report greater life satisfaction [109]. Yet, muscle strength also correlates positively with quality of life ($r=0.40\text{--}0.48$) [108] and is

greater in individuals who report greater life satisfaction [109–111]. Other studies have *not* observed a relationship between flexibility and quality of life, but they have observed relationships with other fitness measures and quality of life [112–114].

4.4 Injury and Pain

Sit-and-reach scores do not predict future incidence of low back pain or injury in adults [115–118], hamstring injuries in male soccer players [119], hamstring injuries in male Australian Football players [120], or lower-limb pain in adolescents [121]. Sit-and-reach scores also do not distinguish industrial workers with and without a history of low back discomfort [122].

High levels of flexibility might *increase* injury risk. In dancers, increased lower-limb ROM or “hypermobility” either increases injury risk or does not correlate with injury [123–127]. One systematic review concluded athletes with hypermobile joints are at *increased* risk of knee but not ankle injury during contact sports [128]. In addition, professional male soccer players with hypermobile joints (i.e., high Beighton scale scores [129–131]) have a “tendency” for higher risk of injury [132] and are at higher risk of injury and re-injury and have more severe injuries than players without hypermobile joints [133]. However, in professional *female* soccer players, Beighton scores are *not* predictive of injury [134]. Issues surrounding the Beighton scale are discussed elsewhere [135].

Sit-and-reach scores in male cadet soldiers who experience non-contact anterior cruciate ligament injuries over a 4-year period are *higher* at baseline (41.4 cm) than in non-injured cadets (36.5 cm) [136]. During 12 weeks of basic training, male soldiers with the lowest *and* highest sit-and-reach scores are more likely to experience lower-limb injury [137, 138]. Thus, a middle range of flexibility reflects optimal fitness in this group. However, sit-and-reach scores do not predict injuries in *female* soldiers, and female soldiers are twice as likely as male injuries to sustain injuries in basic training [139]. Finally, a recent systematic review concluded there is “moderate evidence” ankle and hamstring flexibility (e.g., sit-and-reach scores) predict musculoskeletal injury in military and civilian populations [140].

Nevertheless, other components of fitness are also risk factors for injuries. There is “strong evidence” poor cardiovascular endurance and muscle endurance (push-ups) are risk factors for musculoskeletal injuries in military and civilian athletic populations of both sexes [138, 141, 142]. Body mass index (BMI) is an independent risk factor for injuries in various athletic groups [143–146]. Squat one-repetition maximum is predictive of traumatic knee injuries in female high school athletes [147]. However, isokinetic strength of knee flexors and extensors generally does not

predict hamstring strains [148] and isokinetic knee flexion and extension strength and eccentric hip abduction and adduction strength do not predict injuries in professional male soccer players [149].

4.5 Cardiovascular Outcomes

In adolescents, sit-and-reach scores do not correlate with blood pressure or resting heart rate ($r < 0.10$). Body composition and cardiorespiratory endurance correlate more strongly with these outcomes ($r = 0.10$ – 0.40) [150, 151]. In 7605 adults, there were no differences in blood pressure, ratio of total cholesterol to high-density lipoprotein, and lung function between individuals with high and low sit-and-reach scores [109]. Mixed findings exist on whether sit-and-reach scores differ between individuals with and without metabolic syndrome [152–154]. Cross-sectional [155] and longitudinal studies [156] suggest sit-and-reach scores in healthy adults may predict arterial stiffening, independent of other fitness components.

4.6 Correlations with Other Fitness Components

Flexibility generally does not correlate with body composition [157–167], cardiovascular endurance [168], muscle endurance [117], or muscle strength [160, 164, 165, 169], although exceptions exist [89, 170]. Body composition [71, 72], cardiovascular endurance [73], muscle endurance [74], and muscle strength [75–78] all correlate with mortality and various health outcomes. Absence of correlations between flexibility and other fitness components indicates flexibility is a distinct trait, but not one particularly important for health and function.

4.7 Performance in Physically Demanding Occupations

Sit-and-reach scores do not correlate with performance on simulated firefighting tasks [164, 171, 172], simulated military tasks [173, 174], or a simulated police foot chase [175]. Sit-and-reach scores do not distinguish individuals who pass and fail a Special Forces entry examination [174]. A study that included field observations of airmen and interviews and focus groups with Air Force personnel concluded flexibility is the least important component of fitness [176]. Finally, Hauschild and colleagues pooled correlation coefficients from 27 studies that assessed relationships between physical fitness and task performance in physically demanding military jobs [177]. Flexibility demonstrated the weakest correlations ($r \leq 0.16$). Correlations between task performance and cardiovascular endurance ($r = \sim 0.30$ – 0.60), muscular endurance ($r = \sim 0.35$ – 0.60), and muscular strength ($r = \sim 0.40$ – 0.60) were stronger. Body

composition, cardiovascular endurance, muscle endurance, muscle strength, and agility are all more important than flexibility for physically demanding work [164, 171–177].

4.8 Sports Performance

The current paper focuses on flexibility in the general population. Nevertheless, millions of individuals compete in sports and a discussion on flexibility in sports helps form the larger picture of flexibility and physical function.

Some sports require above average levels of flexibility. In Cureton's 1941 paper, swimmers exhibited greater hamstrings flexibility and greater ROM in ankle plantarflexion, shoulder flexion, and trunk extension than controls [52]. Dancers exhibit greater hamstrings flexibility and greater ROM in ankle plantarflexion, hip abduction, and hip external rotation than controls [178–180]. Swimmers and gymnasts have more flexible hamstrings than other athletes (e.g., baseball, basketball) [181, 182]. Sit-and-reach scores are ~ 8 cm greater in male powerlifters than controls, but their back scratch scores are 23 cm lower [183]. Shoulder ROM is greater in Olympic-style weightlifters than in controls, American Football players, and bodybuilders [184]. However, shoulder ROM is less in bodybuilders and American Football players than controls [184].

In other athletic groups, flexibility levels often are the same as in controls, do not correlate with athletic performance, and do not differentiate athletes of different playing abilities. Such findings date back to early flexibility research and were pointed out by Holland in 1968 [56]. In 1938, Wettstone found shoulder and trunk flexibility did not predict gymnastics ability (i.e., coaches rating) in novice and experienced male gymnasts [185]. In Cureton's 1941 paper, most correlations between trunk, shoulder, and ankle flexibility and "a wide variety of physical performances other than swimming" were "insignificant" and not even reported [52]. In 1950, Sigereth reported American Football players were *less* flexible than controls at 20 of 21 joints [62]. In 1961, Burley and colleagues reported weak or no associations ($r \leq 0.16$ or -0.16) between upper- and lower-limb flexibility and upper- and lower-limb power (e.g., broad jump) in high school females. In 1966, Bushey reported a correlation of $r = 0.28$ between "stool flexibility test" scores and modern dance performance as rated by judges [186]. In the same study, correlations between dance performance and strength and power were stronger ($r = 0.36, 0.38$) [186].

More recent studies reveal similar findings. Sit-and-reach scores are comparable between elite and sub-elite athletes in 100-m sprinting [187], 200-m sprinting [188], handball [189], climbing [190, 191], parachuting [192], and taekwondo [193]. Sit-and-reach scores are not statistically different between starters and non-starters in American Football [194, 195], selected and non-selected players in Australian

Football [196, 197], or first and second team youth soccer players [198]. However, sit-and-reach scores are higher in six starters (19.5 cm) than six non-starters (10.6 cm) on a female college volleyball team [199].

Sit-and-reach scores also do not correlate with kayak time trial performance [200] or surrogates of ice hockey performance [201], although higher levels of trunk, hip, and groin flexibility appear necessary for hockey goalies [202, 203]. In endurance runners, faster runners sometimes have *lower* sit-and-reach scores [204]. In addition, flexibility and running economy are *inversely* related in some [205–209] but not all studies [210–212].

Notably, in several of the above studies, body composition [187, 192], cardiovascular endurance [192, 194], muscle endurance [190], and muscle strength [186, 187, 189, 191, 195, 196] were more strongly correlated with athletic performance than flexibility. Even in sports where high levels of flexibility are required, other fitness components must be considered [213–216]. Sit-and-reach scores in international swimmers are 3.5 cm greater than in national swimmers [213]. However, international swimmers are also stronger and more powerful than their national counterparts [213]. Lower-limb strength, not flexibility, generally correlates most strongly with surrogates of swim performance [215, 216].

5 Case for De-emphasizing Stretching in Exercise Prescriptions for Most Populations

A fundamental purpose of fitness testing is to acquire information to guide exercise prescriptions. Declaration of flexibility as a major component of physical fitness has led to the notion flexibility exercises (i.e., stretching) should be prescribed to improve flexibility. According to guidelines, the primary purpose of stretching is to improve flexibility [14]. Therefore, if flexibility is not a major component of fitness (Sect. 4), then stretching is not needed in exercise prescriptions for many populations. Moreover, to the extent flexibility might need improvement, this does not necessitate a stretching prescription. Stretching is not the only activity in which muscles and tendons are lengthened and thus it is not the only activity that increases flexibility.

5.1 Increased Flexibility After Stretch or Resistance Training

Static stretching twice or more per week for several weeks increases sit-and-reach scores 9–43% [217–225] (Table 1). Other measures of ROM also increase after weeks of stretch training [226–242]. Increased stretch tolerance [241, 243, 244] and changes in muscle-tendon unit mechanical and

viscoelastic properties (e.g., reduced passive stiffness) [235, 245] likely explain increased flexibility after stretch training. These mechanisms are discussed elsewhere [246, 247].

Nevertheless, resistance training—a mode of exercise that involves repeated actions of muscle lengthening and shortening against external load *through full ROM*—increases sit-and-reach scores (10–25%) [224, 248–255] (Table 2) and other measures of ROM equal to stretching [256–261] (Table 3). Other papers also report increased flexibility after resistance training but do not contain explicit statements about the exclusion of supplemental stretching [262–268]. Finally, in DeLorme’s pioneering work on resistance training, orthopaedic patients increased ROM after training [269].

Aerobic exercise for 10–24 weeks increases sit-and-reach scores 10–17% [250, 270, 271]. In older adults, sit-and-reach scores increase 30–150% after step mat training [272, 273] and 14% after “functional” body weight exercises [274]. Finally, one study of older adults reported ~5% improvements in sit-and-reach scores after aerobic, resistance, balance, and static stretch training [275].

5.2 Improved Health and Function After Stretch or Resistance Training

Static stretching sometimes improves outcomes other than flexibility. Kokkonen et al. reported high-volume static stretching over 10 weeks (3 × per week, 40-min sessions) improved muscle strength and endurance ~30% in young adults [219]. Gait kinematics in older adults also improve after static stretch training [276], and the potential for static stretching to improve cardiovascular outcomes is an emerging area [277, 278].

Nevertheless, static stretch training often does not improve muscle strength [224, 235, 279] or other health outcomes [280, 281]. In older woman, muscle strength *decreased* 1–5% after 12 weeks of static stretching (2 sessions per week; 45-min sessions) [279]. In the same study, muscle strength improved 10–20% after combined resistance, aerobic, agility, or power exercise [279]. One meta-analysis concluded “there is conflicting information regarding both the relationship between flexibility interventions and functional outcomes or daily functioning” in older adults [281]. Finally, in contrast to stretch training, aerobic and resistance training are known to positively impact a range of health outcomes [66, 282–287].

5.3 Injury Prevention with Stretch or Resistance Training

Stretch training to prevent injury is questionable. For delayed-onset muscle soreness, “evidence from randomised studies suggests that muscle stretching, whether

Table 1 Summary of studies (non-exhaustive search) that have reported on the effect of static stretch training on the sit-and-reach test

References	<i>n</i>	Sex	Age (years)	Intervention	Duration (weeks)	Frequency (days/week)	FLEX volume # of stretches × rep #	Sit-and-reach change (%)
Kokkonen et al. 2007 [219]	19	F/M	~23	Control	10	N/A	N/A	-2
	19	F/M	~23	FLEX	10	3	15 × 3 reps (40 min)	+18
Simao et al. 2011 [224]	20	F	34	Control	16	N/A	N/A	0
	20	F	34	FLEX	16	3	N/A	+34
	20	F	35	RT	16	3	6–15RM	+20
	20	F	35	RT + FLEX	16	3	6–15RM	+35
Kamandulis et al. 2013 [218]	62	F/M	15	Control	5	N/A	N/A	+2
	55	F/M	15	FLEX—test × 4	5	2	Test stretch × 4 reps	+5
	54	F/M	15	FLEX—1 × 4	5	2	1 × 4 reps	+13
	58	F/M	15	FLEX—4 × 4	5	2	4 × 4 reps	+22
Wong and Figueroa 2014 [225]	14	F	56	Control	8	N/A	N/A	+4
	14	F	57	FLEX	8	3	38 × 1 rep (50 min)	+21
Mayorga-Vega et al. 2014 [312]	23	F/M	10	PE	8	N/A	N/A	+3
	22	F/M	10	PE + FLEX	8	2	4 × 3 reps (5 min)	+9
Mayorga-Vega et al. 2014 [313]	23	F/M	11	PE	8	N/A	N/A	+2
	22	F/M	11	PE + FLEX	8	2	5 × 3 (5 min)	+16
Mayorga-Vega et al. 2015 [220]	61	F/M	13	PE	8	N/A	N/A	+1
	60	F/M	13	PE + FLEX 1 day	8	1	4 × 2 reps (4 min)	+7
	59	F/M	13	PE + FLEX 2 days	8	2	4 × 2 reps (4 min)	+9
Nishiwaki et al. 2015 [222]	8	M	42	Control	4	N/A	N/A	-2
	8	M	45	FLEX	4	5	10 × 3 reps (30 min)	+43
Hadjicharalambous 2016 [217]	12	M	16	Soccer	4	N/A	N/A	-4
	11	M	16	Soccer + FLEX	4	4 × 2	NR × 2 reps (16 min)	+29
Mayorga-Vega et al. 2016 [221]	45	F/M	8	PE	9	N/A	N/Z	0
	51	F/M	9	PE + FLEX 1	9	2	4 × 2 reps (4 min)	+14
	45	F/M	8	PE + FLEX 2	9	2	4 × 2 reps (4 min)	+16
Rodriguez Fernandez et al. 2016 [223]	22	NR	17	Soccer	7	N/A	N/A	-8
	81	NR	19	Soccer + FLEX	7	6	4 × 2 reps (12 min)	+25

F female, *FLEX* flexibility training (static stretch training), *M* male, *NR* not reported, *PE* physical education class

conducted before, after, or before and after exercise, does not produce clinically important reductions in delayed-onset muscle soreness in healthy adults” [288]. Stretching also does not prevent injuries in military training [289–291], running [292], or sports [246, 292–294] although evidence in sports is mixed [295]. In Shrier’s 1999 review, he concluded “[t]he basic science literature supports the epidemiologic evidence that stretching before exercise does not reduce the risk of injury” [246]. Finally, one systematic review concluded resistance training reduces sports injuries to less than one-third [293].

Jamtvedt et al. tested if stretching before and after physical activity influenced soreness and risk of injury in active adults [68]. Over 2000 participants were randomized to control (no stretching) or an internet-based stretching program of 14 min of static stretching before and after physical activity for 12 weeks. Compliance with the program

was “moderate.” Stretching reduced both bothersome soreness and injuries to muscles, ligaments, and tendons. However, stretching did not produce clinically important or statistically significant reductions in all-injury risk. All-injury risk was not different between groups, thus stretching must have increased risk of injuries not measured. The investigators concluded participant preferences, time and effort required to stretch, and the small probable benefits of stretching need to be weighed to determine if active individuals should engage in stretching [68].

Stretch training for some *existing* conditions is also questionable. Cochrane reviews on stretch training for contracture [280], mechanical neck disorders [296], and ankle fractures [297] have all concluded there is limited to no evidence stretching improves pain or function in these conditions. Finally, with fibromyalgia, stretching does not improve pain intensity, physical function, fatigue, or

Table 2 Summary of studies that have reported on the effects of resistance training (without any supplemental stretching) on the sit-and-reach test

References	<i>n</i>	Sex	Age (years)	Intervention	Duration (weeks)	Frequency (days/week)	RT load	Sit-and-reach change (%)
Barbosa et al. 2002 [249]	8	F	65	Control	10	N/A	N/A	-2
	11	F	69	RT	10	3	Moderate loads	+13
Fatouros et al. 2002 [250]	8	M	71	Control	16	N/A	N/A	0
	8	M	72	CV	16	3	N/A	+5
	8	M	70	RT	16	3	55–80% 1RM	+11
	8	M	70	RT + CV	16	3	55–80% 1RM	+12
Fatouros et al. 2006 [251]	10	M	70	Control	24	N/A	N/A	-2
	14	M	71	RT—low load	24	3	40% 1RM	+12
	12	M	70	RT—moderate load	24	3	60% 1RM	+22
	14	M	71	RT—high load	24	3	80% 1RM	+26
Faigenbaum et al. 2007 [252]	22	M	14	RT	9	2	8–15RM	+10
Simao et al. 2011 [224]	20	F	34	Control	16	N/A	N/A	0
	20	F	34	FLEX	16	3	N/A	+34
	20	F	35	RT	16	3	6–15RM	+20
	20	F	35	RT + FLEX	16	3	6–15RM	+35
Junior et al. 2011 [253]	20	M	NR	Control	10	N/A	N/A	0
	20	M	NR	RT—1 set	10	3	8–12RM	~15
	20	M	NR	RT—2 sets	10	3	8–12RM	~25
Adams et al. 2001 [248]	7	F	52	Control	8	N/A	N/A	+2
	12	F	51	RT	8	2	70–80% 1RM	+8
Moraes et al. 2013 [255]	10	M	16	Control	12	N/A	N/A	+4
	14	M	15	RT—periodized	12	3	65–95% 1RM	+17
	14	M	15	RT—non-periodized	12	3	65–95% 1RM	+22
Leite et al. 2017 [254]	9	M	24	Control	24	N/A	N/A	+17
	12	M	24	RT—1 set	24	3	8–12RM	+25
	13	M	24	RT—3 sets	24	3	8–12RM	+13
	13	M	24	RT—5 sets	24	3	8–12RM	+17

CV cardiovascular/aerobic training group, F female, FLEX flexibility training (static stretch training), M male, NR not reported, RT resistance training group, 1RM one-repetition maximum

health-related quality of life when compared to land-based aerobic training [298]. Resistance training is superior to stretch training for improving pain and function in fibromyalgia [299].

6 Implications of Reduced Emphasis on Flexibility and Stretching

6.1 Flexibility

Millions of flexibility tests are conducted each year in schools in the United States [300]. Thus, one implication of retiring flexibility as a major component of fitness is the simplification of test batteries and saved time and resources dedicated to its instruction, measurement, and evaluation.

A second implication is the prevention of erroneous conclusions about fitness when flexibility is low or average. When Bobo and Yarbrough found similar sit-and-reach scores between aerobic dance instructors and controls, they concluded “aerobic dance teachers should participate in general flexibility stretching activities...” [301]. When Scott found sit-and-reach scores from *elite* field hockey players were low compared to norms, they concluded the players should improve flexibility to “avoid the possibility of injury” [302]. In both examples, the groups supposedly in need of improving flexibility were already physical active and presumably in better overall physical condition than controls. The issue was not their low/average flexibility but the importance placed on flexibility. Such misinterpretations occur regularly and on a large scale when university students are taught low/average flexibility scores are necessarily problematic and should be improved by stretching.

Table 3 Summary of studies that have reported on the effects of resistance training (without any supplemental stretching) on joint range of motion as measured by tests other than sit-and-reach

References	<i>n</i>	Sex	Age (years)	Intervention	Duration (weeks)	Frequency (days/week)	RT load	Test	Joint	Change (%)
Nobrega et al. 2005 [257]	10	F/M	~21	Control	12	N/A	N/A	Flexitest	Global	0
	11	F/M	~21	FLEX	12	2	N/A		Global	~+27
	13	F/M	~21	RT	12	2	8–12RM		Global	–1
	9	F/M	~21	RT + FLEX	12	2	8–12RM		Global	~+22
Monteiro et al. 2008 [258]	10	F	37	Control	10	N/A	N/A	Flexometer	Shoulder	–1 to 2
									Knee	+1
									Hip	+2–5
									Trunk	–2 to 13
	10	F	37	RT	10	3	8–20RM	Shoulder	+3 to 37	
								Knee	–1	
Santos et al. 2010 [261]	8	F	25	Control	8	3	N/A	Goniometer	Shoulder	–1 to 1
									Trunk	–2 to 1
	8	F	24	RT—UL	8	3	N/A	Goniometer	Shoulder	+5 to 12
									Trunk	+29 to 37
8	F	27	RT—AA	8	3	N/A	Goniometer	Shoulder	+5 to 14	
								Trunk	+31 to 38	
Morton et al. 2011 [259]	12	F/M	23	Control	5	N/A	N/A	Goniometer	Shoulder	+11
									Knee	+31
									Hip	0–1
	12	F/M	22	FLEX	5	3	N/A	Goniometer	Shoulder	+15
									Knee	+103
									Hip	+8 to 26
12	F/M	22	RT	5	3	Not reported	Goniometer	Shoulder	+30	
								Knee	+92	
								Hip	+14 to 66	
Carneiro et al. 2015 [256]	28	F	68	RT—2 days	12	2	10–15RM	Fleximeter	Hip	+3 to 26
	25	F	67	RT—3 days	12	3	10–15RM		Hip	+13 to 19
Ribeiro et al. 2017 [260]	30	F	22	RT	16	3	8–20RM	Fleximeter	Shoulder	0–11
									Hip	0–1
									Trunk	+1 to 4
	28	M	22	RT	16	3	8–20RM	Shoulder	+2 to 12	
								Hip	+1 to 2	
								Trunk	0–6	

AA agonist/antagonist alternating sets, CV cardiovascular/aerobic training group, F female, FLEX flexibility/stretch training, M male, RT resistance training group, UL upper-/lower-body alternating sets, IRM one-repetition maximum

6.2 Stretching

Decreased emphasis on stretching for most populations would ensure stretching does not attenuate adaptations to resistance exercise if performed *before* exercise. Stretches held for > 60 s acutely reduce muscle force [303]. Stretching also reduces repetitions completed and training volume attained during one session of resistance exercise [304, 305]. In a 10-week study, stretching before resistance

exercise attenuated training volume and hypertrophy [306]. But stretching did not attenuate strength gains in the same study [306] or in other studies [224, 307]. Notably, stretching *before* resistance exercise does not *ameliorate* neuromuscular adaptations [224, 306, 307].

A second implication of reduced emphasis on stretching is improved training efficiency. Exercise modalities that cause more robust health benefits than stretching increase flexibility (Sect. 5.1). Thus, if individuals participate in

these other modalities, stretching can be removed from their prescriptions. Lower levels of flexibility in the general population are probably due, in part, to reduced physical activity [308, 309]. Thus, leisure-based activities or formal exercise prescriptions of aerobic or resistance exercise should suffice to maintain or restore functionally relevant levels of flexibility in most populations. The practical implication is reduced session duration, which might improve exercise adherence, as time is a perceived barrier to exercise [310]. Alternatively, time previously dedicated to stretching can be reallocated to (a) performing additional aerobic or resistance exercise or (b) practicing functional tasks (i.e., specificity) rather than attempting to indirectly improve task performance by improved flexibility from stretching (i.e., transfer). Notably, in the study by Kokkonen et al., participants completed 2 h of stretching per week for 10 weeks to increase muscle strength ~30% [219]. Thus, practitioners and their clients should consider whether stretch training is an effective and efficient use of time.

7 Closing Remarks

7.1 What This Paper is Not Saying

First, this paper is not saying flexibility is completely irrelevant for health and function; or that it should never be evaluated; or that it should be removed from educational curricula. This paper argues flexibility be demoted from a major component to perhaps a secondary component of health-related physical fitness for most populations.

Second, this paper is not saying research on flexibility should stop. New research might continue to show a middle range of flexibility levels desirable for health and function [137, 138]. This would make flexibility akin to body composition as assessed by body mass index, where both low *and* high scores reflect poorer fitness.

Third, this paper is not saying stretching never be prescribed or there are never any benefits from stretching. This paper argues stretching generally does not improve health and function in apparently healthy individuals, particularly when compared to improvements from other exercise. This paper also argues stretching is not an essential component of exercise prescriptions and time previously dedicated to stretching can be reallocated to activities that cause more robust health benefits, including improved flexibility. Nevertheless, many adults enjoy stretching [68], and personal preferences should be considered.

Fourth, this paper is not a critique of dynamic stretches (e.g., walking lunges). Many dynamic stretches are body weight calisthenics and are different to static stretches

due to the volitional neural drive required to perform the movements.

7.2 Flexibility Paradox and Moving Forward

The history of flexibility as a major component of physical fitness can be summarized as sustained research interest with overall unconvincing evidence of theorized benefits, followed paradoxically by continued recommendations for its measurement. The ACSM's position paper on exercise prescriptions includes only one paragraph on "benefits of flexibility exercise" and most of the paragraph is not about benefits [66]. Yet, the ACSM considers flexibility a component of fitness and recommends stretching to improve it [14, 66]. Moreover, the *Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans* say health benefits of flexibility activities are "unknown and it is unclear whether they reduce risk of injury" [65]. Yet, the *Guidelines* say "[f]lexibility is an important part of physical fitness" [65].

Some researchers have noticed the paradox and issues surrounding flexibility. In 2012, the Institute of Medicine recommended tests of flexibility *not* be included in youth fitness testing because of a "lack of evidence for an association between flexibility tests and health outcomes..." [12]. In addition, in the development of the National Institute of Health's Toolbox for Assessment of Neurological and Behavioral Function, only 8% of experts said flexibility is relevant to motor function [311]. Flexibility tests were not included in the final Toolbox [311].

8 Conclusion

Flexibility has been researched for over 100 years. Its track record is unimpressive, particularly when viewed in light of other components of physical fitness. Flexibility lacks predictive and concurrent validity value with meaningful health and performance outcomes. Consequently, it should be retired as a major component of fitness. Because the fundamental purpose of stretching is to improve flexibility, the case for retiring flexibility is also the case for a decreased emphasis on stretching as a standard or necessary component of exercise prescriptions for most populations. Functionally relevant levels of flexibility can be maintained or restored with exercise modalities that cause more robust health and performance benefits. Implications of retiring flexibility include simplification of test batteries; saved time and resources dedicated to its instruction, measurement, and evaluation within test batteries; and prevention of erroneous conclusions about fitness status when flexibility scores are interpreted. Implications of decreased emphasis on stretching include improved

training efficiency and safeguarding against negative impacts on other parts of exercise prescriptions.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Funding No sources of funding were used to assist in the preparation of this article.

Conflict of Interest James Nuzzo declares he has no conflicts of interest relevant to the content of this article.

References

- Holt J, Holt LE, Pelham TW. Flexibility redefined. In: Bauer T, editor. *Biomechanics in Sports XIII*. Thunder Bay: Lakehead University; 1996. p. 170–4.
- Knudson DV, Magnusson P, McHugh M. Current issues in flexibility fitness. *President's Council on Physical Fitness and Sports Research Digest*. 2000;3(10):1–8.
- Gleim GW, McHugh MP. Flexibility and its effects on sports injury and performance. *Sports Med*. 1997;24(5):289–99.
- Bozic PR, Pazin NR, Berjan BB, Planic NM, Cuk ID. Evaluation of the field tests of flexibility of the lower extremity: reliability and the concurrent and factorial validity. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2010;24(9):2523–31.
- Hayes K, Walton JR, Szomor ZR, Murrell GA. Reliability of five methods for assessing shoulder range of motion. *Aust J Physiother*. 2001;47(4):289–94.
- Gajdosik RL, Bohannon RW. Clinical measurement of range of motion. Review of goniometry emphasizing reliability and validity. *Phys Ther*. 1987;67(12):1867–72.
- Leighton JR. The Leighton flexometer and flexibility test. *J Assoc Phys Ment Rehabil*. 1966;20(3):86–93.
- Leighton JR. A simple objective and reliable measure of flexibility. *Res Q*. 1942;13(2):205–16.
- Moore ML. The measurement of joint motion; the technic of goniometry. *Phys Ther Rev*. 1949;29(6):256–64.
- Moore ML. The measurement of joint motion; introductory review of the literature. *Phys Ther Rev*. 1949;29(5):195–205.
- Wiechec FJ, Krusen FH. A new method of joint measurement and a review of the literature. *Am J Surg*. 1939;43(3):659–68.
- Institute of Medicine. *Fitness measures and health outcomes in youth*. Washington, D.C: The National Academic Press; 2012.
- Morrow JR Jr, Zhu W, Franks BD, Meredith MD, Spain C. 1958–2008: 50 years of youth fitness tests in the United States. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. 2009;80(1):1–11.
- American College of Sports Medicine. *ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription*. 10th ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer; 2018.
- Atamaz F, Ozcaldiran B, Ozdedeli S, Capaci K, Durmaz B. Inter-observer and intraobserver reliability in lower-limb flexibility measurements. *J Sports Med Phys Fit*. 2011;51(4):689–94.
- Ayala F, Sainz de Baranda P, De Ste Croix M, Santonja F. Criterion-related validity of four clinical tests used to measure hamstring flexibility in professional futsal players. *Phys Ther Sport*. 2011;12(4):175–81.
- Ayala F, Sainz de Baranda P, De Ste Croix M, Santonja F. Reproducibility and criterion-related validity of the sit and reach test and toe touch test for estimating hamstring flexibility in recreationally active young adults. *Phys Ther Sport*. 2012;13(4):219–26.
- Baltaci G, Un N, Tunay V, Besler A, Gerceker S. Comparison of three different sit and reach tests for measurement of hamstring flexibility in female university students. *Br J Sports Med*. 2003;37(1):59–61.
- Castro-Piñero J, Chillón P, Ortega FB, Montesinos JL, Sjöström M, Ruiz JR. Criterion-related validity of sit-and-reach and modified sit-and-reach test for estimating hamstring flexibility in children and adolescents aged 6–17 years. *Int J Sports Med*. 2009;30(9):658–62.
- Chillón P, Castro-Piñero J, Ruiz JR, Soto VM, Carbonell-Baeza A, Dafos J, et al. Hip flexibility is the main determinant of the backsaver sit-and-reach test in adolescents. *J Sports Sci*. 2010;28(6):641–8.
- Chung PK, Yuen CK. Criterion-related validity of sit-and-reach tests in university men in Hong Kong. *Percept Mot Skills*. 1999;88(1):304–16.
- Cornbleet SL, Woolsey NB. Assessment of hamstring muscle length in school-aged children using the sit-and-reach test and the inclinometer measure of hip joint angle. *Phys Ther*. 1996;76(8):850–6.
- Davis DS, Quinn RO, Whiteman CT, Williams JD, Young CR. Concurrent validity of four clinical tests used to measure hamstring flexibility. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2008;22(2):583–8.
- Hoeger WWK, Hopkins DR. A comparison of the sit and reach and the modified sit and reach in the measurement of flexibility in women. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. 1992;63(2):191–5.
- Holt LE, Pelham TW, Burke DG. Modifications to the standard sit-and-reach flexibility protocol. *J Athl Train*. 1999;34(1):43–7.
- Hopkins DR, Hoeger WWK. A comparison of the sit-and-reach test and the modified sit-and-reach test in the measurement of flexibility for males. *J Appl Sport Sci Res*. 1992;6(1):7–10.
- Hui SC, Yuen PY, Morrow JR Jr, Jackson AW. Comparison of the criterion-related validity of sit-and-reach tests with and without limb length adjustment in Asian adults. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. 1999;70(4):401–6.
- Hui SS, Yuen PY. Validity of the modified back-saver sit-and-reach test: a comparison with other protocols. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2000;32(9):1655–9.
- Jackson A, Langford NJ. The criterion-related validity of the sit and reach test: replication and extension of previous findings. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. 1989;60(4):384–7.
- Jones CJ, Rikli RE, Max J, Noffal G. The reliability and validity of a chair sit-and-reach test as a measure of hamstring flexibility in older adults. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. 1998;69(4):338–43.
- Kawano MM, Ambar G, Oliveira BI, Boer MC, Cardoso AP, Cardoso JR. Influence of the gastrocnemius muscle on the sit-and-reach test assessed by angular kinematic analysis. *Rev Bras Fisioter*. 2010;14(1):10–5.
- Lemmink KAPM, Kemper HCG, Greef MHG, Rispens P, Stevens M. The validity of the sit-and-reach test and the modified sit-and-reach test in middle-aged to older men and women. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. 2003;74(3):331–6.
- Liemohn W, Sharpe GL, Wassermann JF. Criterion related validity of the sit-and-reach test. *J Strength Cond Res*. 1994;8(2):91–4.
- Liemohn W, Martin SB, Pariser GL. The effect of ankle posture on sit-and-reach test performance. *J Strength Cond Res*. 1997;11(4):239–41.
- López-Miñarro PA, Andújar PS, Rodríguez-García PL. A comparison of the sit-and-reach test and the back-saver sit-and-reach test in university students. *J Sports Sci Med*. 2009;8(1):116–22.
- Maffiuletti NA, Tringali G, Patrizi A, Agosti F, Sartorio A. Reproducibility of clinician-friendly physical performance measures in individuals with obesity. *J Rehabil Med*. 2017;49(8):677–81.

37. Martin SB, Jackson AW, Morrow JR Jr, Liemohn W. The rationale for the sit and reach test revisited. *Meas Phys Educ Exerc Sci.* 1998;2(2):85–92.
38. Mayorga-Vega D, Merino-Marban R, Viciano J. Criterion-related validity of sit-and-reach tests for estimating hamstring and lumbar extensibility: a meta-analysis. *J Sports Sci Med.* 2014;13(1):1–14.
39. Mier CM. Accuracy and feasibility of video analysis for assessing hamstring flexibility and validity of the sit-and-reach test. *Res Q Exerc Sport.* 2011;82(4):617–23.
40. Mier CM, Shapiro BS. Sex differences in pelvic and hip flexibility in men and women matched for sit-and-reach score. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2013;27(4):1031–5.
41. Mier CM, Shapiro BS. Reliability of a computer software angle tool for measuring spine and pelvic flexibility during the sit-and-reach test. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2013;27(2):501–6.
42. Minkler S, Patterson P. The validity of the modified sit-and-reach test in college-aged students. *Res Q Exerc Sport.* 1994;65(2):189–92.
43. Mookerjee S, McMahon MJ. Electromyographic analysis of muscle activation during sit-and-reach flexibility tests. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2014;28(12):3496–501.
44. Muyor JM, Zemková E, Štefániková G, Kotyra M. Concurrent validity of clinical tests for measuring hamstring flexibility in school age children. *Int J Sports Med.* 2014;35(8):664–9.
45. Muyor JM, Vaquero-Cristóbal R, Alacid F, López-Miñarro PA. Criterion-related validity of sit-and-reach and toe-touch tests as a measure of hamstring extensibility in athletes. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2014;28(2):546–55.
46. Patterson P, Wiksten DL, Ray L, Flanders C, Sanphy D. The validity and reliability of the back saver sit-and-reach test in middle school girls and boys. *Res Q Exerc Sport.* 1996;67(4):448–51.
47. Shephard RJ, Berridge M, Montelpare W. On the generality of the “sit and reach” test: an analysis of flexibility data for an aging population. *Res Q Exerc Sport.* 1990;61(4):326–30.
48. Smith JF, Miller CV. The effect of head position on sit and reach performance. *Res Q Exerc Sport.* 1985;56(1):84–5.
49. Sporis G, Vucetic V, Jovanovic M, Jukic I, Omrcen D. Reliability and factorial validity of flexibility tests for team sports. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2011;25(4):1168–76.
50. Wells KF, Dillon EK. The sit and reach—a test of back and leg flexibility. *Res Q.* 1952;23(1):115–8.
51. Corbin CB, Noble L. Flexibility. *J Phys Educ Recreat.* 1980;51(6):23–60.
52. Cureton KJ. Flexibility as an aspect of physical fitness. *Res Q.* 1941;12(Sup2):381–90.
53. De Vries HA. Evaluation of static stretching procedures for improvement of flexibility. *Res Q.* 1962;33(2):222–9.
54. Fleishman EA. Factor analyses of physical fitness tests. *Educ Psychol Meas.* 1963;23(4):647–61.
55. Harris ML. Flexibility. *Phys Ther.* 1969;49(6):591–601.
56. Holland GJ. The physiology of flexibility: a review of the literature. *Kinesiol Rev.* 1968;1:49–62.
57. Hupprich FL, Sigerseth PO. The specificity of flexibility in girls. *Res Q.* 1950;21(1):25–33.
58. Kraus H, Hirschland RP. Minimum muscular fitness tests in school children. *Res Q.* 1954;25(2):178–88.
59. Leighton JR. On the significance of flexibility for physical educators. *J Health Phys Educ Rec.* 1960;31(8):27–70.
60. Nicks DC, Fleishman EA. What do physical fitness tests measure? A review of factor analytic studies. *Educ Psychol Meas.* 1962;22(1):77–95.
61. McCue B. Flexibility measurements of college women. *Res Q.* 1953;24(3):316–24.
62. Sigerseth PO, Haliski CC. The flexibility of football players. *Res Q.* 1950;21(4):394–8.
63. Mood DP, Jackson AW, Morrow JR Jr. Measurement of physical fitness and physical activity: fifty years of change. *Meas Phys Educ Exerc Sci.* 2007;11(4):217–27.
64. Guilford JP. A system of psychomotor abilities. *Am J Psychol.* 1958;71(1):164–74.
65. U.S Department of Health and Human Services. Physical activity guidelines for Americans. 2nd ed. Washington, D.C.; 2018.
66. Garber CE, Blissmer B, Deschenes MR, Franklin BA, Lamonte MJ, Lee IM, American College of Sports Medicine Position Stand, et al. Quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory, musculoskeletal, and neuromotor fitness in apparently healthy adults: guidance for prescribing exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2011;43(7):1334–59.
67. Waryasz GR, Daniels AH, Gil JA, Suric V, Ebersson CP. Personal trainer demographics, current practice trends and common trainee injuries. *Orthop Rev.* 2016;8(3):6600.
68. Jamtvedt G, Herbert RD, Flottorp S, Odgaard-Jensen J, Håvelsrud K, Barratt A, et al. A pragmatic randomised trial of stretching before and after physical activity to prevent injury and soreness. *Br J Sports Med.* 2010;44(14):1002–9.
69. Fujita Y, Nakamura Y, Hiraoka J, Kobayashi K, Sakata K, Nagai M, et al. Physical-strength tests and mortality among visitors to health-promotion centers in Japan. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1995;48(11):1349–59.
70. Katzmarzyk PT, Craig CL. Musculoskeletal fitness and risk of mortality. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2002;34(5):740–4.
71. Katzmarzyk PT, Reeder BA, Elliott S, Joffres MR, Pahwa P, Raine KD, et al. Body mass index and risk of cardiovascular disease, cancer and all-cause mortality. *Can J Public Health.* 2012;103(2):147–51.
72. Prospective Studies Collaboration, Whitlock G, Lewington S, Sherliker P, Clarke R, Emberson J, et al. Body-mass index and cause-specific mortality in 900 000 adults: collaborative analyses of 57 prospective studies. *Lancet.* 2009;373(9669):1083–96.
73. Kodama S, Saito K, Tanaka S, Makin M, Yachi Y, Asumi M, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness as a quantitative predictor of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events in healthy men and women: a meta-analysis. *JAMA.* 2009;301(19):2024–34.
74. Roshanravan B, Patel KV, Fried LF, Robinson-Cohen C, de Boer IH, Harris T, et al. Association of muscle endurance, fatigability, and strength with functional limitation and mortality in the health aging and body composition study. *J Gerontol A Biol Med Sci.* 2017;72(2):284–91.
75. Celis-Morales CA, Welsh P, Lyall DM, Steell L, Petermann F, Anderson J, et al. Associations of grip strength with cardiovascular, respiratory, and cancer outcomes and all cause mortality: prospective cohort study of half a million UK Biobank participants. *BMJ.* 2018;361:k1651.
76. Cooper R, Kuh D, Hardy R, Mortality Review Group, FALCon and HALCyon Study Teams. Objectively measured physical capability levels and mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ.* 2010;341:c4467.
77. Leong DP, Teo KK, Rangarajan S, Lopez-Jaramillo P, Avezum A Jr, Orlandini A, et al. Prognostic value of grip strength: findings from the Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) study. *Lancet.* 2015;386(9990):266–73.
78. Ruiz JR, Sui X, Lobelo F, Morrow JR, Jackson AW, Sjöström M, et al. Association between muscular strength and mortality in men: prospective cohort study. *BMJ.* 2008;337:a439.
79. Metter EJ, Talbot LA, Schrager M, Conwit RA. Arm-cranking muscle power and arm isometric muscle strength are independent predictors of all-cause mortality in men. *J Appl Physiol.* 2004;96(2):814–21.

80. Bell RD, Hoshizaki TB. Relationship of age and sex with range of motion of seventeen joint actions in humans. *Can J Appl Sport Sci.* 1981;6(4):202–6.
81. Medeiros HB, de Araujo DS, de Araujo CG. Age-related mobility loss is joint-specific: an analysis from 6,000 Flexitest results. *Age.* 2013;35(6):2399–407.
82. Moreland JD, Richardson JA, Goldsmith CH, Clase CM. Muscle weakness and falls in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2004;52(7):1121–9.
83. Chow H, Chen HL, Lin LL. Association between out-of-home trips and older adults' functional fitness. *Geriatr Gerontol Int.* 2014;14:596–604.
84. Dai B, Ware WB, Giuliani CA. A structural equation model relating physical function, pain, impaired mobility (IM), and falls in older adults. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2012;55(3):645–52.
85. Goes SM, Leite N, Shay BL, Homann D, Stefanello JMF, Rodacki ALF. Functional capacity, muscle strength and falls in women with fibromyalgia. *Clin Biomech.* 2012;27(6):578–83.
86. Toraman A, Yildirim NU. The falling risk and physical fitness in older people. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2010;51(2):222–6.
87. Zhao Y, Chung P. Differences in function fitness among older adults with and without risk of falling. *Asian Nurs Res.* 2016;10(1):51–5.
88. Beissner KL, Collins JE, Holmes H. Muscle force and range of motion as predictors of function in older adults. *Phys Ther.* 2000;80(6):556–63.
89. Cunningham DA, Paterson DH, Himann JE, Rechnitzer PA. Determinants of independence in the elderly. *Can J Appl Physiol.* 1993;18(3):243–54.
90. Lin PS, Hsieh CC, Cheng HS, Tseng TJ, Su SC. Association between physical fitness and successful aging in Taiwanese older adults. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(3):e0150389.
91. Brito LB, de Araujo DS, de Araujo CG. Does flexibility influence the ability to sit and rise from the floor? *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2013;92(3):241–7.
92. Jung H, Yamasaki M. Association of lower extremity range of motion and muscle strength with physical performance of community-dwelling older women. *J Physiol Anthropol.* 2016;35(1):30.
93. Singh AS, Chin A Paw MJM, Bosscher RJ, van Mechelen W. Cross-sectional relationship between physical fitness components and functional performance in older persons living in long-term care facilities. *BMC Geriatr.* 2006;6:4.
94. Al Snih S, Markides KS, Ottenbacher KJ, Raji MA. Hand grip strength and incident ADL disability in elderly Mexican Americans over a seven-year period. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* 2004;16(6):481–6.
95. Bassey EJ, Fiatarone MA, O'Neill EF, Kelly M, Evans WJ, Lipsitz LA. Leg extensor power and functional performance in very old men and women. *Clin Sci.* 1992;82(3):321–7.
96. Foldvari M, Clark M, Laviolette LC, Bernstein MA, Kaliton D, Castaneda C, et al. Association of muscle power with functional status in community-dwelling elderly women. *J Gerontol A Biol Med Sci.* 2000;55(4):M192–9.
97. Ishizaki T, Watanabe S, Suzuki T, Shibata H, Haga H. Predictors for functional decline among nondisabled older Japanese living in a community during a 3-year follow-up. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2000;48(11):1424–9.
98. Rantanen T, Guralnik JM, Foley D, Masaki K, Leveille S, Curb JD, et al. Midlife hand grip strength as a predictor of old age disability. *JAMA.* 1999;281(6):558–60.
99. Rijk JM, Roos PR, Deckx L, van den Akker M, Buntinx F. Prognostic value of handgrip strength in people aged 60 years and older: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Geriatr Gerontol Int.* 2016;16(1):5–20.
100. Taekema DG, Gussekloo J, Maier AB, Westendorp RG, de Craen AJ. Handgrip strength as a predictor of functional, psychological and social health. A prospective population-based study among the oldest old. *Age Ageing.* 2010;39(3):33–37.
101. Wearing J, Stokes M, de Bruin ED. Quadriceps muscle strength is a discriminant predictor of dependence in daily activities in nursing home residents. *PLoS One.* 2019;14(9):e0223016.
102. Anderson DE, Madigan ML. Healthy older adults have insufficient hip range of motion and plantar flexor strength to walk like healthy young adults. *J Biomech.* 2014;47(5):1104–9.
103. Kerrigan DC, Todd MK, Della Croce U, Lipsitz LA, Collins JJ. Biomechanical gait alterations independent of speed in the healthy elderly: evidence for specific limiting impairments. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 1998;79(3):317–22.
104. Brown M, Sinacore DR, Host HH. The relationship of strength to function in the older adult. *J Gerontol A Biol Med Sci.* 1995;50:55–9.
105. Bendall MJ, Bassey EJ, Pearson MB. Factors affecting walking speed of elderly people. *Age Ageing.* 1989;18(5):327–32.
106. Kwon IS, Oldaker S, Schragger M, Talbot LA, Fozard JL, Metter EJ. Relationship between muscle strength and the time taken to complete a standardized walk-turn-walk test. *J Gerontol A Biol Med Sci.* 2001;56(9):B398–404.
107. Muehlbauer T, Granacher U, Borde R, Hortobágyi T. Non-discriminant relationships between leg muscle strength, mass and gait performance in healthy young and old adults. *Gerontology.* 2018;64(1):11–8.
108. Moratalla-Cecilia N, Soriano-Maldonado A, Ruiz-Cabello P, Fernández MM, Gregorio-Arenas E, Aranda P, et al. Association of physical fitness with health-related quality of life in early postmenopause. *Qual Life Res.* 2016;25(10):2675–81.
109. Fowles J, Roy J, Clarke J, Dogra S. Are the fittest Canadian adults the healthiest? *Health Rep.* 2014;25(5):13–8.
110. Musalek C, Kirchenegast S. Grip strength as an indicator of health-related quality of life in old age—a pilot study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2017;14(12):E1447.
111. Sayer AA, Syddall HE, Martin HJ, Dennison EM, Roberts HC, Cooper C. Is grip strength associated with health-related quality of life? Findings from the Hertfordshire Cohort Study. *Age Ageing.* 2006;35(4):409–15.
112. Ozcan A, Donat H, Gelecek N, Ozdirenc M, Karadibak D. The relationship between risk factors for falling and the quality of life in older adults. *BMC Public Health.* 2005;5:90.
113. Park S, Han HS, Kim GU, Kang SS, Kim HJ, Lee M, et al. Relationships among disability, quality of life, and physical fitness lumbar spinal stenosis: an investigation of elderly Korean women. *Asian Spine J.* 2017;11(2):256–63.
114. Perez-Cruzado D, Cuesta-Vargas AI, Vera-Garcia E, Mayoral-Cleries F. The relationship between quality of life and physical fitness in people with severe mental illness. *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* 2018;16(1):82.
115. Battié MC, Bigos SJ, Fisher LD, Spengler DM, Hansson TH, Nachemson AL, et al. The role of spinal flexibility in back pain complaints within industry. A prospective study. *Spine.* 1990;15(8):768–73.
116. Gonzalez SL, Diaz AM, Plummer HA, Michener LA. Musculoskeletal screening to identify female collegiate rowers at risk for low back pain. *J Athl Train.* 2018;53(12):1173–80.
117. Jackson AW, Morrow JR Jr, Brill PA, Kohl HW III, Gordon NF, Blair SN. Relations of sit-up and sit-and-reach tests to low back pain in adults. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 1998;27(1):22–6.
118. Mikkelsen LO, Nuppenon H, Kaprio J, Kautiainen H, Mikkelsen M, Kujala UM. Adolescent flexibility, endurance strength, and physical activity as predictors of adult tension neck, low back pain, and knee injury: a 25 year follow up study. *Br J Sports Med.* 2006;40(2):107–13.

119. van Doormaal MC, van der Horst N, Backx FJ, Smits DW, Huisstede BM. No relationship between hamstring flexibility and hamstring injuries in male amateur soccer players: a prospective study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2017;45(1):121–6.
120. Orchard J, Marsden J, Lord S, Garlick D. Preseason hamstring muscle weakness associated with hamstring muscle injury in Australian footballers. *Am J Sports Med.* 1997;25(1):81–5.
121. Shrier I, Ehrmann-Feldman D, Rossignol M, Abenhaim L. Risk factors for development of lower limb pain in adolescents. *J Rheumatol.* 2001;28(3):604–9.
122. Grenier SG, Russell C, McGill SM. Relationships between lumbar flexibility, sit-and-reach test, and a previous history of low back discomfort in industrial workers. *Can J Appl Physiol.* 2003;28(2):165–77.
123. Biernacki J, Stracciolini A, Fraser J, Micheli L, Sugimoto D. Risk factors for lower-extremity injuries in female ballet dancers: a systematic review. *Clin J Sports Med.* 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0000000000000707>.
124. Coplan JA. Ballet dancer's turnout and its relationship to self-reported injury. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2002;32(11):579–84.
125. Kenny SJ, Whittaker JL, Emery CA. Risk factors for musculoskeletal injury in preprofessional dancers: a systematic review. *Br J Sports Med.* 2016;50(16):997–1003.
126. van Merkensteijn GG, Quin E. Assessment of compensated turnout characteristics and their relationship to injuries in university level modern dancers. *J Dance Med Sci.* 2015;19(2):57–62.
127. Wiesler ER, Hunter DM, Martin DF, Curl WW, Hoen H. Ankle flexibility and injury patterns in dancers. *Am J Sports Med.* 1996;24(6):754–7.
128. Pacey V, Nicholson LL, Adams RD, Munn J, Munns CF. Generalized joint hypermobility and risk of lower limb joint injury during sport: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Am J Sports Med.* 2010;38(7):1487–97.
129. Beighton P, Solomon L, Soskolne CL. Articular mobility in an African population. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 1973;32(5):413–8.
130. Beighton P, Horan F. Orthopaedic aspects of the Ehlers–Danlos syndrome. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1969;51(3):444–53.
131. Juul-Kristensen B, Schmedding K, Rombaut L, Lund H, Engelbert RH. Measurement properties of clinical assessment methods for classifying generalized joint hypermobility—a systematic review. *Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet.* 2017;175(1):116–47.
132. Konopinski MD, Graham I, Johnson MI, Jones G. The effect of hypermobility on the incidence of injury in professional football: a multi-site cohort study. *Phys Ther Sport.* 2016;21:7–13.
133. Konopinski MD, Jones GJ, Johnson MI. The effect of hypermobility on the incidence of injuries in elite-level professional soccer players: a cohort study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2012;40(4):763–9.
134. Blokland D, Thijs KM, Backx FJ, Goedhart EA, Huisstede BM. No effect of generalized joint hypermobility on injury risk in elite female soccer players: a prospective cohort study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2017;45(2):286–93.
135. Nicholson LL, Chang C. No effect of generalized joint hypermobility on injury risk in elite female soccer players: letter to the editor. *Am J Sports Med.* 2018;46(7):NP28.
136. Uhorchak JM, Scoville CR, Williams GN, Arciero RA, St Pierre P, Taylor DC. Risk factors associated with noncontact injury of the anterior cruciate ligament: a prospective four-year evaluation of 859 West Point cadets. *Am J Sports Med.* 2003;31(6):831–42.
137. Jones BH, Cowan DN, Tomlinson JP, Robinson JR, Polly DW, Frykman PN. Epidemiology of injuries associated with physical training among young men in the army. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1993;25(2):197–203.
138. Jones BH, Knapik JJ. Physical training and exercise-related injuries. Surveillance, research and injury prevention in military populations. *Sports Med.* 1999;27(2):111–25.
139. Knapik JJ, Sharp MA, Canham-Chervak M, Hauret K, Patton JF, Jones BH. Risk factors for training-related injuries among men and women in basic combat training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2001;33(6):946–54.
140. de la Motte SJ, Lisman P, Gribbin TC, Murphy K, Deuster PA. Systematic review of the association between physical fitness and musculoskeletal injury risk: part 3—flexibility, power, speed, balance, and agility. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2019;33(6):1723–35.
141. de la Motte SJ, Gribbin TC, Lisman P, Murphy K, Deuster PA. Systematic review of the association between physical fitness and musculoskeletal injury risk: part 2—muscular endurance and muscular strength. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2017;31(11):3218–34.
142. Lisman PJ, de la Motte SJ, Gribbin TC, Jaffin DP, Murphy K, Deuster PA. A systematic review of the association between physical fitness and musculoskeletal injury risk: part 1—cardiorespiratory endurance. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2017;31(6):1744–55.
143. Amoako AO, Nassim A, Keller C. Body mass index as a predictor of injuries in athletics. *Curr Sports Med Rep.* 2017;16(4):256–62.
144. Grant JA, Bedi A, Kurz J, Bancroft R, Gagnier JJ, Miller BS. Ability of preseason body composition and physical fitness to predict the risk of injury in male collegiate hockey players. *Sports Health.* 2015;7(1):45–51.
145. Nilstad A, Andersen TE, Bahr R, Holme I, Steffen K. Risk factors for lower extremity injuries in elite female soccer players. *Am J Sports Med.* 2014;42(4):940–8.
146. Shimozaki K, Nakase J, Takata Y, Shima Y, Kitaoka K, Tsuchiya H. Greater body mass index and hip abduction muscle strength predict noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injury in female Japanese high school basketball players. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2018;26(10):3004–11.
147. Ryman Augustsson S, Ageberg E. Weaker lower extremity muscle strength predicts traumatic knee injury in youth female but not male athletes. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med.* 2017;3(1):e000222.
148. Green B, Bourne MN, Pizzari T. Isokinetic strength assessment offers limited predictive validity for detecting risk of future hamstring strain in sport: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med.* 2018;52(5):329–36.
149. Bakken A, Targett S, Bere T, Eirale C, Farooq A, Mosler AB, et al. Muscle strength is a poor screening tests for predicting lower extremity injuries in professional male soccer players: a 2-year prospective cohort study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2018;46(6):1481–91.
150. Nunes HEG, Alves CAS Jr, Gonçalves ECA, Silva DAS. What physical fitness component is most closely associated with adolescents' blood pressure? *Percept Mot Skills.* 2017;124(6):1107–20.
151. Silva DAS, de Lima TR, Tremblay MS. Association between resting heart rate and health-related physical fitness in Brazilian adolescents. *Biomed Res Int.* 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/3812197>.
152. Chang KV, Hung CY, Li CM, Lin YH, Wang TG, Tsai KS, et al. Reduced flexibility associated with metabolic syndrome in community-dwelling elders. *PLoS One.* 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117167>.
153. Chen CN, Chuang LM, Wu YT. Clinical measures of physical fitness predict insulin resistance in people at risk for diabetes. *Phys Ther.* 2008;88(11):1355–64.
154. Mileski KS, Leitão JL, Lofrano-Porto A, Grossi Porto LG. Health-related physical fitness in middle-aged men with and without metabolic syndrome. *J Sports Med Phys Fit.* 2015;55(3):223–30.
155. Yamamoto K, Kawano H, Gando Y, Lemitsu M, Murakami H, Sanada K, et al. Poor trunk flexibility is associated with arterial stiffening. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2009;297(4):H1314–8.

156. Gando Y, Murakami H, Yamamoto K, Kawakami R, Ohno H, Sawada SS, et al. Greater progression of age-related aortic stiffening in adults with poor trunk flexibility: a 5-year longitudinal study. *Front Physiol.* 2017. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00454>.
157. AijSAFE T, Garcia T, Fanchiang H. Musculoskeletal fitness measures are not created equal: an assessment of school children in Corpus Christi, Texas. *Front Public Health.* 2018;6:14.
158. Ceshia A, Giacomini S, Santarossa S, Rugo M, Salvadego D, Da Ponte A, et al. Deleterious effects of obesity on physical fitness in pre-pubertal children. *Eur J Sport Sci.* 2016;16(2):271–8.
159. Conway TL, Cronan TA, Peterson KA. Circumference-estimated percent body fat vs. weight-height indices: relationships to physical fitness. *Aviat Space Environ Med.* 1989;60(5):433–7.
160. Dumith SC, Ramires VV, Souza MA, Moraes DS, Petry FG, Oliveira ES, et al. Overweight/obesity and physical fitness among children and adolescents. *J Phys Act Health.* 2010;7(5):641–8.
161. Garcia-Pastor T, Salinero JJ, Sanz-Frias D, Pertusa G, Del Coso J. Body fat percentage is more associated with low physical fitness than with sedentarism and diet in male and female adolescents. *Physiol Behav.* 2016;165:166–72.
162. Kim HJ, Lee KJ, Jeon YJ, Ahn MB, Jung IA, Kim SH, et al. Relationships of physical fitness and obesity with metabolic risk factors in children and adolescents: Chungju city cohort study. *Ann Pediatr Endocrinol Metab.* 2016;21(1):31–8.
163. Kim JW, Seo DI, Swearingin B, So WY. Association between obesity and various parameters of physical fitness in Korean students. *Obes Res Clin Pract.* 2013;7(1):e67–74.
164. Michaelides MA, Parpa KM, Thompson J, Brown B. Predicting performance on a firefighter's ability test from fitness parameters. *Res Q Exerc Sport.* 2008;79(4):468–75.
165. Milliken LA, Faigenbaum AD, Rita LaRosa L, Westcott WL. Correlates of upper and lower body muscular strength in children. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2008;22(4):1339–46.
166. Sacchetti R, Cecilian A, Garulli A, Masotti A, Poletti G, Beltrami P, et al. Physical fitness of primary school children in relation to overweight prevalence and physical activity habits. *J Sports Sci.* 2012;20(7):633–40.
167. So WY, Choi DH. Differences in physical fitness and cardiovascular function depend on BMI in Korean men. *J Sports Sci.* 2010;9(2):239–44.
168. Smith T, Smith B, Davis M, Howell D, Servedio FJ. Predictors of physical fitness in a college sample. *Percept Mot Skills.* 2000;91(3):1009–10.
169. Laubach LL, McConville JT. Muscle strength, flexibility, and body size of adult males. *Res Q.* 1966;37(3):384–92.
170. Tian Y, Jiang C, Wang M, Cai R, Zhang Y, He Z, et al. BMI, leisure-time physical activity, and physical fitness in adults in China: results from a series of national surveys, 2000–14. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2016;4(6):487–97.
171. Davis PO, Dotson CO, Santa Maria DL. Relationship between simulated fire fighting tasks and physical performance measures. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1982;14(1):65–71.
172. Williford HN, Duey WJ, Olson MS, Howard N, Wang N. Relationship between fire fighting suppression tasks and physical fitness. *Ergonomics.* 1999;42(9):1179–86.
173. Huang HC, Nagai T, Lovalekar M, Connaboy C, Nindl BC. Physical fitness predictors of a warrior task simulation test. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2018;32(9):2562–8.
174. Hunt AP, Orr RM, Billing DC. Developing physical capability standards that are predictive of success on Special Forces selection courses. *Mil Med.* 2013;178(6):619–24.
175. Beck AQ, Clasey JL, Yates JW, Koebeke NC, Palmer TG, Abel MG. Relationship of physical fitness measures vs. occupational physical ability in campus law enforcement officers. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2015;29(8):2340–50.
176. Sean R, Maria CL, Carra SS, Stephanie P, Thomas M, Amanda A, et al. Fit for duty? Evaluating the physical fitness requirements of battlefield airmen. *Rand Health Q.* 2018;7(2):8.
177. Hauschild VD, DeGroot DW, Hall SM, Grier TL, Deaver KD, Hauret KG, et al. Fitness tests and occupational tasks of military interest: a systematic review of correlations. *Occup Environ Med.* 2017;74(2):144–53.
178. Khan K, Roberts P, Natrass C, Bennell K, Mayes S, Way S, et al. Hip and ankle range of motion in elite classical ballet dancers and controls. *Clin J Sports Med.* 1997;7(3):174–9.
179. Steinberg N, Hershkovitz I, Zeev A, Rothschild B, Siev-Ner I. Joint hypermobility and joint range of motion in young dancers. *J Clin Rheumatol.* 2016;22(4):171–8.
180. Steinberg N, Hershkovitz I, Peleg S, Dar G, Masharawi Y, Heim M, et al. Range of joint movement in female dancers and non-dancers aged 8 to 16 years: anatomical and clinical implications. *Am J Sports Med.* 2006;34(5):814–23.
181. Maffulli N, King JB, Helms P. Training in elite young athletes (the Training of Young Athletes (TOYA) Study): injuries, flexibility and isometric strength. *Br J Sports Med.* 1994;28(2):123–6.
182. Rivera MA, Rivera-Brown AM, Frontera WR. Health related physical fitness characteristics of elite Puerto Rican athletes. *J Strength Cond Res.* 1998;12(3):199–203.
183. Chang DE, Buschbacher LP, Edlich RF. Limited joint mobility in power lifters. *Am J Sports Med.* 1988;16(3):28–284.
184. Beedle B, Jessee C, Stone MH. Flexibility characteristics among athletes who weight train. *J Appl Sport Sci Res.* 1991;5(3):150–4.
185. Wettstone E. Tests for predicting ability in gymnastics and tumbling. *Res Q.* 1938;9(4):115–27.
186. Bushey SR. Relationship of modern dance performance to agility, balance, flexibility, power, and strength. *Res Q.* 1966;37(3):313–6.
187. Meckel Y, Atterbom H, Grodjinovsky A, Ben-Sira D, Rotstein A. Physiological characteristics of female 100 metre sprinters of different performance levels. *J Sports Med Phys Fit.* 1995;35(3):169–75.
188. Mačkala K, Michalski R, Čoh M, Rausavljević N. The relationship between 200 m performance and selected anthropometric variables and motor abilities in male sprinters. *Coll Antropol.* 2015;39(Suppl 1):69–76.
189. Vieira F, Veiga V, Carita AL, Petroski EL. Morphological and physical fitness characteristics of under-16 Portuguese male handball players with different levels of practice. *J Sports Med Phys Fit.* 2013;53(2):169–76.
190. Grant S, Hynes V, Whittaker A, Aitchison T. Anthropometric, strength, endurance and flexibility characteristics of elite and recreational climbers. *J Sports Sci.* 1996;14(4):301–9.
191. Grant S, Hasler T, Davies C, Aitchison TC, Wilson J, Whittaker A. A comparison of the anthropometric, strength, endurance and flexibility characteristics of female elite and recreational climbers and non-climbers. *J Sports Sci.* 2001;19(7):499–505.
192. Deitrick RW, Holmes DL, Murphy M. Physiological characteristics of elite sport parachutists. *Aviat Space Environ Med.* 1985;56(4):351–7.
193. Norjali Wazir MRW, Van Hiel M, Mostaert M, Deconinck FJA, Pion J, Lenoir M. Identification of elite performance characteristics in a small sample of taekwondo athletes. *PLoS One.* 2019;14(5):e0217358.
194. Shields CL, Whitney FE, Zomar VD. Exercise performance of professional football players. *Am J Sports Med.* 1984;12(6):455–9.

195. Stuempfle KJ, Katch FI, Petrie DF. Body composition relates poorly to performance tests in NCAA Division III football players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2003;17(2):238–44.
196. Keogh J. The use of physical fitness scores and anthropometric data to predict selection in an elite under 18 Australian rules football team. *J Sci Med Sport.* 1999;2(2):125–33.
197. Young WB, Pryor L. Relationship between pre-season anthropometric and fitness measures and indicators of playing performance in elite junior Australian Rules football. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2007;10(2):110–8.
198. Jukic I, Prnjak K, Zoellner A, Tufano JJ, Sekulic D, Salaj S. The importance of fundamental motor skills in identifying differences in performance levels of U10 soccer players. *Sports.* 2019;7(7):E178.
199. Fry AC, Kraemer WJ, Weseman CA, Conroy BP, Gordon SE, Hoffman JR, et al. The effects of an off-season strength and conditioning program on starters and non-starters in women's intercollegiate volleyball. *J Appl Sport Sci Res.* 1991;5(4):174–81.
200. McKean MR, Burkett B. The relationship between joint range of motion, muscular strength, and race time for sub-elite flat water kayakers. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2010;13(5):537–42.
201. Bracko MR, George JD. Prediction of ice skating performance with off-ice testing in women's ice hockey players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2001;15(1):116–22.
202. Agre JC, Casal DC, Leon AS, McNally C, Baxter TL, Serfass RC. Professional ice hockey players: physiologic, anthropometric, and musculoskeletal characteristics. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 1988;69(3):188–92.
203. Quinney HA, Dewart R, Game A, Snyder G, Warburton D, Bell G. A 26 year physiological description of a National Hockey League team. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* 2008;33(4):753–60.
204. Nikolaidis PT, Rosemann T, Knechtle B. Force-velocity characteristics, muscle strength, and flexibility in female recreational marathon runners. *Front Physiol.* 2018;9:1563.
205. Craib MW, Mitchell VA, Fields KB, Cooper TR, Hopewell R, Morgan DW. The association between flexibility and running economy in sub-elite male distance runners. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1996;28(6):737–43.
206. Gleim GW, Stachenfeld NS, Nicholas JA. The influence of flexibility on the economy of walking and jogging. *J Orthop Res.* 1990;8(6):814–23.
207. Hunter GR, Katsoulis K, McCarthy JP, Ogard WK, Baman MM, Wood DS, et al. Tendon length and joint flexibility are related to running economy. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2011;43(8):1492–9.
208. Jones AM. Running economy is negatively related to sit-and-reach test performance in international-standard distance runners. *Int J Sports Med.* 2002;23:40–3.
209. Trehearn TL, Buresh RJ. Sit-and-reach flexibility and running economy of men and women collegiate distance runners. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2009;23(1):158–62.
210. Beaudoin CM, Blum JW. Flexibility and running economy in female collegiate track athletes. *J Sports Med Phys Fit.* 2005;45(3):295–300.
211. Pate RR, Macera CA, Bailey SP, Bartoli WP, Powell KE. Physiological, anthropometric, and training correlates of running economy. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1992;24(10):1128–33.
212. Sundby QH, Gorelick MLS. Relationship between functional hamstring:quadriceps ratios and running economy in highly trained and recreational female runners. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2014;22(8):2214–27.
213. Bae YH, Yu JH, Lee SM. Comparison of basic physical fitness, aerobic capacity, and isokinetic strength between national and international level high school freestyle swimmers. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2016;28(3):891–5.
214. Miyashita M, Kanehisa H. Dynamic peak torque related to age, sex, and performance. *Res Q Exerc Sport.* 1979;50(2):249–55.
215. Mookerjee S, Bibi K, Kenney GA, Cohen L. Relationship between isokinetic strength, flexibility, and flutter kicking speed in collegiate swimmers. *J Strength Cond Res.* 1995;9(2):71–4.
216. Willems TM, Cornelis JA, De Deurwaerder LE, Roelandt F, De Mits S. The effect of ankle muscle strength and flexibility on dolphin kick performance in competitive. *Hum Mov Sci.* 2014;36:167–76.
217. Hadjicharalambous M. The effects of regular supplementary flexibility training on physical fitness performance of young high-level soccer players. *J Sports Med Phys Fit.* 2016;56(6):699–708.
218. Kamandulis S, Emeljanovas A, Skurvydas A. Stretching exercise volume for flexibility enhancement in secondary school children. *J Sports Med Phys Fit.* 2013;53(6):687–92.
219. Kokkonen J, Nelson AG, Eldredge C, Winchester JB. Chronic static stretching improves exercise performance. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2007;39(10):1825–31.
220. Mayorga-Vega D, Merino-Marban R, Real J, Viciano J. A physical education-based stretching program performed once a week also improves hamstring extensibility in schoolchildren: a cluster-randomized controlled trial. *Nutr Hosp.* 2015;32(4):1715–21.
221. Mayorga-Vega D, Merino-Marban R, Manzano-Lagunas J, Blanco H, Viciano J. Effects of a stretching development and maintenance program on hamstring extensibility in schoolchildren: a cluster-randomized controlled trial. *J Sports Sci Med.* 2016;15(1):65–74.
222. Nishiwaki M, Yonemura H, Kurobe K, Matsumoto N. Four weeks of regular static stretching reduces arterial stiffness in middle-aged men. *Springerplus.* 2015;4:555.
223. Rodriguez Fernandez A, Sanchez J, Rodriguez-Marroyo JA, Villa JG. Effects of seven weeks of static hamstring stretching on flexibility and sprint performance in young soccer players according to their playing position. *J Sports Med Phys Fit.* 2016;56(4):345–51.
224. Simao R, Lemos A, Salles B, Leite T, Oliveira E, Rhea M, et al. The influence of strength, flexibility, and simultaneous training on flexibility and strength gains. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2011;25(5):1333–8.
225. Wong A, Figueroa A. Eight weeks of stretching training reduces aortic wave reflection magnitude and blood pressure in obese postmenopausal women. *J Hum Hypertens.* 2014;28(4):246–50.
226. Bandy WD, Irion JM, Briggler M. The effect of time and frequency of static stretching on flexibility of the hamstring muscles. *Phys Ther.* 1997;77(10):1090–6.
227. Chang SP, Hong Y, Robinson PD. Flexibility and passive resistance of the hamstrings of young adults using two different static stretching protocols. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2001;11(2):81–6.
228. Cipriani DJ, Abel B, Pirwitz D. A comparison of two stretching protocols on hip range of motion: implications for total daily stretch duration. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2003;17(2):274–8.
229. Cipriani DJ, Terry ME, Haines MA, Tabibnia AP, Lyssanova O. Effect of stretch frequency and sex on the rate of gain and rate of loss in muscle flexibility during a hamstring-stretching program: a randomized single-blind longitudinal study. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2012;26(8):2119–29.
230. de Baranda PS, Ayala F. Chronic flexibility improvement after 12 week of stretching program utilizing the ACSM recommendations: hamstring flexibility. *Int J Sports Med.* 2010;31:389–96.
231. Donti O, Papia K, Toubekis A, Donti A, Sands WA, Bogdanis GC. Flexibility training in preadolescent female athletes: acute and long-term effects of intermittent and continuous static stretching. *J Sports Sci.* 2018;36(13):1453–60.
232. Feland JB, Myrer JW, Schulthies SS, Fellingham GW, Measom GW. The effect of duration of stretching of the hamstring muscle

- group for increasing range of motion in people aged 65 years or older. *Phys Ther.* 2001;81(5):1110–7.
233. Ferreira GN, Teixeira-Salmela LF, Guimarães CQ. Gains in flexibility related to measures of muscular performance: impact of flexibility on muscular performance. *Clin J Sports Med.* 2007;17(4):276–81.
 234. Godges JJ, MacRae PG, Engelke KA. Effects of exercise on hip range of motion, trunk muscle performance, and gait economy. *Phys Ther.* 1993;73(7):468–77.
 235. Guissard N, Duchateau J. Effect of static stretch training on neural and mechanical properties of the human plantar-flexor muscles. *Muscle Nerve.* 2004;29(2):248–55.
 236. Harvey LA, Herbert R, Crosbie J. Does stretching induce lasting increases in joint ROM? A systematic review. *Physiother Res Int.* 2002;7(1):1–13.
 237. Medeiros DM, Martini TF. Chronic effect of different types of stretching on ankle dorsiflexion range of motion: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Foot.* 2018;34:28–35.
 238. Nelson RT. Eccentric training and static stretching improve hamstring flexibility of high school males. *J Athl Train.* 2004;39(3):254–8.
 239. Radford JA, Burns J, Buchinder R, Landorf KB, Cooks C. Does stretching increase ankle dorsiflexion range of motion? A systematic review. *Br J Sports Med.* 2006;40(10):870–5.
 240. Wallin D, Ekblom B, Grahn R, Nordenborg T. Improvement of muscle flexibility. A comparison between two techniques. *Am J Sports Med.* 1985;13(4):263–8.
 241. Ylinen J, Kankainen T, Kautiainen H, Rezasoltani A, Kuukkanen T, Häkkinen A. Effect of stretching on hamstring muscle compliance. *J Rehabil Med.* 2009;41(1):80–4.
 242. Young R, Nix S, Wholohan A, Bradhurst R, Reed L. Interventions for increasing ankle joint dorsiflexion: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Foot Ankle Res.* 2013;6(1):46.
 243. Ben M, Harvey LA. Regular stretch does not increase muscle extensibility: a randomized controlled trial. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2010;20(1):136–44.
 244. Halbertsma JP, Goeken LN. Stretching exercises: effect on passive extensibility and stiffness in short hamstrings of healthy subjects. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 1994;75(9):976–81.
 245. Kubo K, Kanehisa H, Fukunaga T. Effect of stretching training on the viscoelastic properties of human tendon structures in vivo. *J Appl Physiol.* 2002;92(2):595–601.
 246. Shrier I. Stretching before exercise does not reduce the risk of local muscle injury: a critical review of the clinical and basic science literature. *Clin J Sports Med.* 1999;9(4):221–7.
 247. Weppler CH, Magnusson SP. Increasing muscle extensibility: a matter of increasing length or modifying sensation? *Phys Ther.* 2010;90(3):438–49.
 248. Adams KJ, Swank AM, Berning JM, Sevens-Adams PG, Barnard KL, Shimp-Bowerman J. Progressive strength training in sedentary, older African American women. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2001;33(9):1567–76.
 249. Barbosa AR, Santarém JM, Filho WJ, Marucci Mde F. Effects of resistance training on the sit-and-reach test in elderly women. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2002;16(1):14–8.
 250. Fatouros IG, Taxildaris K, Tokmakidis SP, Kalapotharakos V, Aggelousis N, Athanasopoulos S, et al. The effects of strength training, cardiovascular training and their combination on flexibility of inactive older adults. *Int J Sports Med.* 2002;23(2):112–9.
 251. Fatouros IG, Kambas A, Katrabasas I, Leontsini D, Chatziniolaou A, Jamurtas AZ, et al. Resistance training and detraining effects on flexibility performance in the elderly are intensity-dependent. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2006;20(3):634–42.
 252. Faigenbaum AD, McFarland JE, Johnson L, Kang J, Bloom J, Ratamess NA, et al. Preliminary evaluation of an after-school resistance training program for improving physical fitness in middle school-age boys. *Percept Mot Skills.* 2007;104(2):407–15.
 253. Junior RS, Leite T, Reis VM. Influence of the number of sets at a strength training in the flexibility gains. *J Hum Kinet.* 2011;29A:47–52.
 254. Leite TB, Costa PB, Leite RD, Novaes JS, Fleck SJ, Simao R. Effects of different number of sets of resistance training on flexibility. *Int J Exerc Sci.* 2017;10(3):354–64.
 255. Moraes E, Fleck SJ, Ricardo Dias M, Simao R. Effects on strength, power, and flexibility in adolescents of nonperiodized vs. daily nonlinear periodized weight training. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2013;27(12):3310–21.
 256. Carneiro NH, Ribeiro AS, Nascimento MA, Gobbo LA, Schoenfeld BJ, Achour Júnior A, et al. Effects of different resistance training frequencies on flexibility in older women. *Clin Interv Aging.* 2015;10:531–8.
 257. Nobrega ACL, Puala KC, Carvalho ACG. Interaction between resistance training and flexibility training in healthy adults. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2005;19(4):842–6.
 258. Monteiro WD, Simão R, Polito MD, Santana CA, Chaves RB, Bezerra E, et al. Influence of strength training on adult women's flexibility. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2008;22(3):672–7.
 259. Morton SK, Whitehead JR, Brinkert RH, Caine DJ. Resistance training vs static stretching: effects on flexibility and strength. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2011;25(12):3391–8.
 260. Ribeiro AS, Campos-Filho MGA, Ademar A, dos Santos L, Junior AA, Aguiar AF, et al. Effect of resistance training on flexibility in young adult men and women. *Isokinet Exerc Sci.* 2017;25(2):149–55.
 261. Santos E, Rhea MR, Simão R, Dias I, de Salles BF, Novaes J, et al. Influence of moderately intense strength training on flexibility in sedentary young women. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2010;24(11):3144–9.
 262. Ades PA, Savage P, Cress ME, Brochu M, Lee NM, Poehlman ET. Resistance training on physical performance in disabled older female cardiac patients. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2003;35(8):1265–70.
 263. Bates A, Donaldson A, Lloyd B, Castell S, Krolik P, Coleman R. Staying active, staying strong: pilot evaluation of a once-weekly, community-based strength training program for older adults. *Health Promot J Aust.* 2009;20(1):42–7.
 264. Kim E, Dear A, Ferguson SL, Seo D, Bembem MG. Effects of 4 weeks of traditional resistance training vs. superslow strength training on early phase adaptations in strength, flexibility, and aerobic capacity in college-aged women. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2011;25(11):3006–13.
 265. Magnani Branco BH, Carvalho IZ, Garcia de Oliveira H, Fanchani AP, Machado Dos Santos MC, Pestillo de Oliveira L, et al. Effects of 2 types of resistance training models on obese adolescents' body composition, cardiometabolic risk, and physical fitness. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000002877>.
 266. Norris MK, Bell GJ, North S, Courneya KS. Effects of resistance training frequency on physical functioning and quality of life in prostate cancer survivors: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis.* 2015;18(3):281–7.
 267. Thrash K, Kelly B. Flexibility and strength training. *J Appl Sport Sci Res.* 1987;1(4):74–5.
 268. Zavanela PM, Crewther BT, Lodo L, Florindo AA, Miyabara EH, Aoki MS. Health and fitness benefits of a resistance training intervention performed in the workplace. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2012;26(3):811–7.
 269. DeLorme TL. Restoration of muscle power by heavy-resistance exercises. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1945;27(4):645–67.

270. Burnham TR, Wilcox A. Effects of exercise on physiological and psychological variables in cancer survivors. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2002;34(12):1863–7.
271. Chien MY, Wu YT, Hsu AT, Yang RS, Lai JS. Efficacy of a 24-week aerobic exercise program for osteopenic postmenopausal women. *Calcif Tissue Int.* 2000;67(6):443–8.
272. Shigematsu R, Okura T. A novel exercise for improving lower-extremity functional fitness in the elderly. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* 2006;18(3):242–8.
273. Shigematsu R, Okura T, Sakai T, Rantanen T. Square-stepping exercise versus strength and balance training for fall risk factors. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* 2008;20(1):19–24.
274. Whitehurst MA, Johnson BL, Parker CM, Brown LE, Ford AM. The benefits of a functional exercise circuit for older adults. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2005;19(3):647–51.
275. Takeshima N, Rogers NL, Rogers ME, Islam MM, Koizumi D, Lee S. Functional fitness gain varies in older adults depending on exercise mode. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2007;39(11):2036–43.
276. Christiansen CL. The effects of hip and ankle stretching on gait function of older people. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2008;89(8):1421–8.
277. Cortez-Cooper MY, Anton MM, Devan AE, Neidre DB, Cook JN, Tanaka H. The effects of strength training on central arterial compliance in middle-aged and older adults. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil.* 2008;15(2):149–55.
278. Kruse NT, Scheuermann BW. Cardiovascular responses to skeletal muscle stretching: “stretching” the truth or a new exercise paradigm for cardiovascular medicine? *Sports Med.* 2017;47(12):2507–20.
279. de Resende-Neto AG, Oliveira Andrade BC, Cyrino ES, Behm DG, De-Santana JM, Da Silva-Grigoletto ME. Effects of functional and traditional training in body composition and muscle strength components in older women: A randomized controlled trial. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2019.103902>.
280. Harvey LA, Katalinic OM, Herbert RD, Moseley AM, Lannin NA, Schurr K. Stretch for the treatment and prevention of contractures. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;1:CD007455.
281. Stathokostas L, Little RM, Vandervoort AA, Paterson DH. Flexibility training and functional ability in older adults: a systematic review. *J Aging Res.* 2012;2012:306818.
282. Fisher JP, Steele J, Gentil P, Giessing J, Westcott WL. A minimal dose approach to resistance training for the older adult; the prophylactic for aging. *Exp Gerontol.* 2017;99:80–6.
283. Liu CJ, Latham N. Can progressive resistance strength training reduce physical disability in older adults? A meta-analysis study. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2011;33(2):87–97.
284. Papa EV, Dong X, Hassan M. Resistance training for activity limitations in older adults with skeletal muscle function deficits: a systematic review. *Clin Interv Aging.* 2017;12:955–61.
285. Pedersen BK, Saltin B. Exercise as medicine—evidence for prescribing exercise as therapy in 26 different chronic diseases. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2015;25(Suppl 3):1–72.
286. Reid KF, Fielding RA. Skeletal muscle power: a critical determinant of physical functioning in older adults. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 2012;40(1):4–12.
287. Westcott WL. Resistance training is medicine: effects of strength training on health. *Curr Sports Med Rep.* 2012;11(4):209–16.
288. Herbert RD, de Noronha M, Kamper SJ. Stretching to prevent or reduce muscle soreness after exercise. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;7:CD004577.
289. Herbert RD, Gabriel M. Effects of stretching before and after exercising on muscle soreness and risk of injury: systematic review. *BMJ.* 2002;325(7362):451–2.
290. Pope R, Herbert R, Kirwan J. Effects of ankle dorsiflexion range and pre-exercise calf muscle stretching on injury risk in Army recruits. *Aust J Physiother.* 1998;44(3):165–72.
291. Pope RP, Herbert RD, Kirwan JD, Graham BJ. A randomized trial of preexercise stretching for prevention of lower-limb injury. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2000;32(2):271–7.
292. Yeung SS, Yeung EW, Gillespie LD. Interventions for preventing lower limb soft-tissue running injuries. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;7:CD001256.
293. Lauersen JB, Bertelsen DM, Andersen LB. The effectiveness of exercise interventions to prevent sports injuries: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Br J Sports Med.* 2014;48(11):871–7.
294. Thacker SB, Gilchrist J, Stroup DF, Kimsey CD Jr. The impact of stretching on sports injury risk: a systematic review of the literature. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2004;36(3):371–8.
295. McHugh MP, Cosgrave CH. To stretch or not to stretch: the role of stretching in injury prevention and performance. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2010;20(2):169–81.
296. Gross A, Kay TM, Paquin JP, Blanchette S, Lalonde P, Christie T, et al. Exercises for mechanical neck disorders. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015;1:CD004250.
297. Lin CW, Donkers NA, Refshauge KM, Beckenkamp PR, Khera K, Moseley AM. Rehabilitation for ankle fractures in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;11:CD005595.
298. Kim SY, Busch AJ, Overend TJ, Schachter CL, van der Spuy I, Boden C, et al. Flexibility exercise training for adults with fibromyalgia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2019;9:CD013419.
299. Busch AJ, Webber SC, Richards RS, Bidonde J, Schachter CL, Schafer LA, et al. Resistance exercise training for fibromyalgia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013;12:CD010884.
300. Morrow JR, Ede A. Statewide physical fitness testing: a big waist or a big waste? *Res Q Exerc Sport.* 2009;80(4):696–701.
301. Bobo M, Yarbrough M. The effects of long-term aerobic dance on agility and flexibility. *J Sports Med Phys Fit.* 1999;39(2):165–8.
302. Scott PA. Morphological characteristics of elite male field hockey players. *J Sports Med Phys Fit.* 1991;31(1):57–61.
303. Kay AD, Blazevich AJ. Effect of acute static stretch on maximal muscle performance: a systematic review. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2012;44(1):154–64.
304. Barroso R, Tricoli V, Santos Gil SD, Ugrinowitsch C, Roschel H. Maximal strength, number of repetitions, and total volume are differently affected by static-, ballistic-, and proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation stretching. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2012;26(9):2432–7.
305. Nelson AG, Kokkonen J, Arnall DA. Acute muscle stretching inhibits muscle strength endurance performance. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2005;19(2):338–43.
306. Junior RM, Berton R, de Souza TM, Chacon-Mikahil MP, Cavaglieri CR. Effect of the flexibility training performed immediately before resistance training on muscle hypertrophy, maximum strength and flexibility. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2017;117(4):767–74.
307. Ferreira-Júnior JB, Benine RPC, Chaves SFN, Borba DA, Martins-Costa HC, Freitas EDS, et al. Effects of static and dynamic stretching performed before resistance training on muscle adaptations in untrained men. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000003283>.
308. Silva-Batista C, Urso RP, Lima Silva AE, Bertuzzi R. Associations between fitness tests and the international physical activity questionnaire-short form in healthy men. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2013;27(12):3481–7.
309. Voorrips LE, Lemmink KA, van Heuvelen MJ, Bult P, van Staveren WA. The physical condition of elderly women differing in habitual physical activity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1993;25(10):1152–7.

-
310. Hoare E, Stavreski B, Jennings GL, Kingwell BA. Exploring motivation and barriers to physical activity among active and inactive Australian adults. *Sports*. 2017;5(3):E47.
311. Reuben DB, Magasi S, McCreath HE, Bohannon RW, Wang YC, Bubela DJ, et al. Motor assessment using the NIH Toolbox. *Neurology*. 2013;80:S65–75.
312. Mayorga-Vega D, Merino-Marban R, Sanchez-Rivas E, Vici-ana J. Effect of a short-term static stretching training program followed by five weeks of detraining on hamstring extensibility in children aged 9–10 years. *J Phys Educ Sport*. 2014;14(3):355–9.
313. Mayorga-Vega D, Merino-Marban R, Vera-Estrada F, Vici-ana J. Effect of a short-term physical education-based flexibility program on hamstring and lumbar extensibility and its posterior reduction in primary schoolchildren. *Kinesiology*. 2014;46:227–33.